# **CHEMICAL SAFETY REPORT**

#### Sections 9 & 10

| Legal name of applicant(s): | Derby Plating Services Ltd<br>East Lancashire Platers Ltd<br>Global Metal Finishing Ltd<br>Hard Anodising Surface Treatments Ltd<br>Nu-Pro Limited<br>Russell Laboratories Ltd<br>Twickenham Plating Ltd<br>Walton Plating Ltd          |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Submitted by:               | Surface Engineering Association Chromium Trioxide<br>Authorisation Consortium   |
| Substance:                  | Chromium Trioxide   |
|                             | EC: 215-607-8<br>CAS: 1333-82-0   |
| Use title:                  | Use of chromium trioxide for the surface treatment of<br>engineering components, mainly for the aerospace<br>and defence sector with the purpose of creating a<br>coating to meet specific and critical performance<br>characteristics. |
| Use number:                 | 1   |

#### **Table of Contents**

# 9 Exposure Assessment (and related risk characterisation)

| 9.1 – Introduction  | 4  |
|---|----|
| 9.1.1 – Overview of uses and exposure scenarios             | 4  |
| 9.1.1.1 – Risk management measures and operational controls | 7  |
| 9.1.2 – Introduction to the assessment                      | 8  |
| 9.1.2.1 – Environment                                       | 8  |
| 9.1.2.2 – Human via the environment                         | 8  |
| 9.1.2.3 – Workers   | 8  |
| 9.1.2.4 – Consumers   | 11 |
| 9.2 – Exposure Scenarios for workers                        | 11 |
| 9.2.1 – Worker contributing scenario 1                      | 11 |
| 9.2.1.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 11 |
| 9.2.2 – Worker contributing scenario 2                      | 11 |
| 9.2.2.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 11 |
| 9.2.3 – Worker contributing scenario 3                      | 12 |
| 9.2.3.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 12 |
| 9.2.4 – Worker contributing scenario 4                      | 12 |
| 9.2.4.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 12 |
| 9.2.5 – Worker contributing scenario 5                      | 13 |
| 9.2.5.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 13 |
| 9.2.6 – Worker contributing scenario 6                      | 13 |
| 9.2.6.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 13 |
| 9.2.7 – Worker contributing scenario 7                      | 14 |
| 9.2.7.1 – Conditions of use                                 | 14 |
| 9.3 Combined exposure for all worker contributing scenarios | 14 |

## **10.** Risk characterisation related to combined Exposure

| 10.1. Human health (related to combined, shift-long exposure) | 15 |
|---|----|
| 10.1.1. Workers   | 15 |
| 10.1.2. Consumers   | 15 |
| 10.2. Environment (combined for all emission sources)1        | 15 |
| 11. REFERENCES  | 17 |

## Tables

| Table 1. Overview of the contributing scenarios                                      | 4  |
|--|----|
| Table 2. Frequency and duration of tasks   | 7  |
| Table 3. Emissions to air / local population   | 8  |
| Table 4. Chromium trioxide concentration measured during electroplating (mist test). | 9  |
| Table 5. Chromium in workers' breathing zone (TWA)                                   | .9 |
| Table 6. Biological monitoring (chromium in urine)                                   | 10 |
| Table 7. Combined exposure and risk characterisation                                 | 15 |

## Abbreviations

| BAT  | Best Available Techniques               |
|------|---|
| BMGV | Biological Monitoring Guidance<br>Value |
| CSR  | Chemical Safety Report                  |
| DF   | Dilution Factor                         |
| DNEL | Derived No Effect Level                 |
| DWF  | Dry Weather Flow                        |
| ETP  | Effluent Treatment Plant                |
| LEV  | Local Exhaust Ventilation               |
| PPE  | Personal Protective Equipment           |
| RMM  | Risk Management Measures                |
| TWA  | Time-weighted Average                   |
| WCS  | Worker Contributing Scenario            |
| WEL  | Workplace Exposure Limit                |
| WWTW | Wastewater Treatment Works              |

# 9. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT (and related risk characterisation)

# 9.1 Introduction

This exposure assessment is part of the applications for authorisation for the continued use of chromium trioxide (CAS 1333-82- 0, EC: 215-607-8) in various surface treatment applications, mainly for the aerospace and defence sector, where a set of critical performance requirements must be met. This report has been compiled by the Surface Engineering Association Chromium Trioxide Authorisation Consortium (SEACTAC) on behalf of and in conjunction with the applicants. According to Article 62 (4) (d) of the REACH Regulation, CSR in AfA should cover the risks to human health and / or the environment arising from the intrinsic properties specified in Annex XIV, therefore this CSR focuses on carcinogenicity and mutagenicity endpoints.

In particular, the risk assessment performed by SEACTAC took into account the information and the dose-response relationship provided by the risk assessment committee (RAC) in the document "Application for Authorization: Establishing a reference dose response relationship for carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium "(RAC / 27/2013/06 Rev. 1 Final)<sup>1</sup>.

In this document, RAC confirmed that it is not possible to determine a "derived no-effect level" for the carcinogenic properties of chromium trioxide and therefore Chromium Trioxide should be considered as non-threshold substance for the purposes of Article 60 (3) (a) of the REACH Regulation, as a result, according to Article 60 (4) of the REACH Regulation, demonstrating adequate control is not possible and the SEA route is applicable.

This assessment is focused mainly on the Annex XIV properties (Carcinogenic (category 1A) Mutagenic (category 1B)) but an assessment of environmental exposure and consequent assessment of man via the environment has also been carried out to establish any potential exposure levels to the general population.

### 9.1.1. Overview of uses and Exposure Scenarios

#### **Tonnage information:**

The total tonnage used by all the members of this application is between 500 to 750 kgs per annum. The members are micro, small and medium sized business for the purpose of REACH authorisation applications and full details of company sizes are included with this application for authorisation. All of the members are located in the UK and are members of the Surface Engineering Association

The following table lists all the exposure scenarios (ES) assessed in this CSR.

| Contributing<br>scenario | ERC /<br>PROC | Name of the contributing scenario     | Size of the<br>exposed<br>population |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ES 1:                    |               |                                       |                                      |
| ECS1                     |               | Use at an Industrial Site - Use of    | All Local                            |
|                          |               | chromium trioxide for various surface | Size of exposed                      |
|                          |               | treatments of components, mainly for  | population is for                    |

#### Table 1 Overview of Contributing Scenarios

|       |         | the percentee and defence caster with   |           |
|-------|---------|---|-----------|
|       |         | the aerospace and defence sector with   | all sites |
|       |         | the purpose of creating a coating to    | combined  |
|       |         | provide specific & critical performance |           |
|       |         | characteristics                         |           |
| WCS 1 | PROC 1  | Receipt & storage of chromium           | 8         |
|       |         | trioxide                                |           |
| WCS 2 | PROC 4  | Loading / unloading jigs                | 28        |
| WCS 3 | PROC 13 | Operating the surface treatment line    | 12        |
| WCS 4 | PROC 8b | Sampling the surface treatment          | 8         |
|       |         | solution                                |           |
| WCS 5 | PROC 8b | Making additions of chromium trioxide   | 12        |
| WCS 6 |         | Maintenance activities                  | 12        |
| WCS 7 | PROC 28 | Wastewater / effluent treatment         | 8         |

Please note that the majority of these tasks will be undertaken by the same workers.

Further details on each activity are as follows:

WCS 1- Receipt, transfer and storage of Chromium Trioxide

The chromium trioxide to be used in the surface treatment tank arrives in the company in hermetically sealed steel drums of 25 kg or 50kg each, and the chromium trioxide has the appearance of dark red flakes. Upon receipt, checks are carried out to ensure the goods are in accordance with the purchase order but the drums are not opened. Upon successful completion of the checks, the drums are moved by a worker to a dedicated area in the raw material storage area. Access to this area is controlled and only approved employees are allowed access.



Typical raw material store

#### WCS 2 - Loading/unloading of jigs

Before being transferred to the surface treatment line, the components are loaded onto specially designed jigs or attached by copper wire to specially designed frames. The components then pass through the surface treatment process.

On completion of the surface treatment process, the jigs / frames are then unloaded and the components are ready for quality control checks.

#### CHEMICAL SAFETY REPORT



Typical Plating Jig with component

Typical Plating Jigs

WCS3 - Operation of surface treatment process line

If the process plant is manual, the operator will follow the entire surface treatment process of the components, loading the jigs into the process tanks according to the process schedule and specification.

If the process plant is automatic, there is very little direct involvement of the workers.

Essentially they just check the correct operation of the plant using the control monitor and performing occasional visual checks on the tanks (tank level monitoring, pumps, pipes).

WCS4 - Sampling the surface treatment solution

To monitor the quality of the process, periodic checks are carried out in either external laboratories or the companies own laboratory to check that the surface treatment solution is within the specified operating parameters.

#### WCS5 - Making additions of chromium trioxide

When the result of samples analysis shows a need for additions to the surface treatment solution, suitably trained and qualified employees will make additions of chromium trioxide to the surface treatment solution to ensure that the optimal concentration of chromium trioxide is maintained.

#### WCS6 - Maintenance

In accordance with the operating procedures of the site periodic maintenance activities are carried out on the surface treatment process line and wastewater treatment plant.

#### WCS7 - Wastewater and effluent treatment

Wastewater from the rinsing stages of the surface treatment process will either be stored for disposal by registered waste handlers or reduced to the trivalent form of chromium in an effluent treatment plant before discharge to a further water treatment plant via the foul sewer. Companies that discharge wastewater operate under a consent to discharge from their local water company. The effluent treatment plant will produce a sludge, but this will not contain any chromium trioxide as it has been fully reduced during the effluent treatment process.

Waste rinse water containing Chromium Trioxide is typically treated in 2 stages. Stage 1 changes hexavalent chromium ( $Cr^{+6}$ ) to trivalent chromium ( $Cr^{+3}$ ). Trivalent chromium freely bonds to hydroxide in Stage 2 of the treatment process. The final result is a precipitate: chromium hydroxide  $Cr(OH)_3$ . Hexavalent Chromium Reduction (Stage 1) The most common treatment method for reducing hexavalent chromium to trivalent chromium is by using chemical reducing agents such as sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), sodium bisulphite (NaHSO<sub>3</sub>) or sodium meta-bisulphite (Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>). This reaction will progress rapidly at a pH of between 2 and 3.

Precipitation of Chromium Hydroxide (Stage Two)

Once the first stage reaction is complete, calcium hydroxide,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , (lime), must be added to the wastewater to promote precipitation of chromium hydroxide. The precipitate can be easily separated and disposed of. This precipitation takes place at a pH of 8 and above.

The following table summarises the frequency and duration of each of the contributing scenarios in this particular application for authorisation:

| WCS  | Frequency / duration of task | 8-hour Shift equivalent (232<br>working days/year) hours |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| WCS1   | 1-2 per year/30 minutes      | 4.31 x 10-3  |
| WCS2   | 10-12 per shift/10 minutes   | 2  |
| WCS3   | 20-30 per shift/3 minutes    | 3  |
| WCS4   | 1-2 per year/2 minutes       | 2.875 x 10-4   |
| WCS5   | 1-2 per year/30 minutes      | 4.31 x 10-3  |
| WCS6   | 1 per week/ 10 minutes       | 0.1667   |
| WCS7   | 1-2 per year/ 20 minutes     | 2.875 x 10-3   |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}} = $ |                              |  |

#### Table 2 – Frequency & duration of tasks

Total (averaged) hours exposure per 8 hr (TWA)

= 5.1785

#### 9.1.1.1 Risk Management Measures and Operational Controls

There have been regulations in place in the UK regarding the use of chromium trioxide in surface treatment operations since 1931. These were amended in 1973 and then revoked by the issue of the Control of Hazardous Substances regulations in 1988. There has also been joint Health & Safety Executive and Surface Engineering Association guidance on best practice in place for many years and these will be revised following the conclusion of the application for authorisation.

Many educational events have taken place, again over many years, such as the 2005 National Health Awareness Day for the UK Chromium Plating Industry and the Disease Reduction Programme focussing on workplace cancers which began in 2008.

The UK has been at the forefront of the control of the potential risks during surface treatment processes from the use of chromium trioxide. The UK's approach of risk management rather than complete risk removal (leading to processes being carried in countries with less stringent or even no real controls) means that chromium trioxide can be used safely in surface treatment processes with exposures similar to background levels.

Here is a list of the risk management measures and operational controls that are in operation at the sites that are covered by this particular application.

- 1 Workplace & Employee Exposure
- 2 Training
- 3 Suitable PPE
- 4 Mist Suppressants / extraction
- 5 Wastewater treatment
- 6 Waste disposal
- 7 Regular maintenance

#### 8 – Management Systems

#### 9.1.2. Introduction to the assessment

#### 9.1.2.1. Environment

The quantities of chromium trioxide are very small; between 500 to 750kgs across all 8 sites (companies) detailed within this group. There are releases to atmosphere from many of the sites as there LEV (extraction) in use but there are no releases to watercourses. All chromium trioxide waste is treated on-site by chemical reduction before disposal via sewer to the local WWTW or, disposed for treatment off-site using registered waste contractors. Once the components have been coated, there is no residual chromium trioxide and so, there is no potential for health impacts during use of the finished articles.

#### Scope and type of assessment:

Where applicable, waste effluent monitoring is carried out by the relevant Water Authority via site sampling of discharge to Foul Sewer transfer to the local WWTW where it is further diluted before onward discharge.

#### 9.1.2.2. Human via environment

Three the Applicants utilise LEV (Extraction) to aid in the control of the workplace atmosphere. As a result, there is discharge of chromium trioxide from those utilising LEV to the atmosphere which is measured by specialist companies. These measurements are used to assess the potential impact on the local population.

The emissions will be in droplet form which will be dispersed by the prevailing wind and will eventually fall to ground influenced by gravity. According to the UK Health Security Agency guidance document – Chromium: toxicological overview<sup>4</sup>, the releases of chromium (VI) from any source are expected to be reduced via abiotic and biotic processes to chromium (III) in most situations in the environment, and the impact of the chromium (VI) form is therefore likely to be limited to the area around an exposure source.

This report will assume a potential dispersal area of 1 Kilometre radius from the source (producing site) and use population data from "Data Devil" (population radius tool) and map coordinates (from Streetmap.co.uk) for each source.

#### Table 3: Emissions to Air and potential exposed local population

| Company Name           | Combined emission to<br>Air after abatement<br>(Mass emission x LEV<br>operating hours) per<br>year. | Concentration<br>of Emission<br>after abatement<br>(mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Population<br>residing within 1<br>Km radius |
|------------------------|--|---|--|
| Nu-Pro Ltd             | No Data supplied   | n/a   | 11107  |
| Twickenham Plating Ltd | 0.03689Kg  | <0.001  | 5594   |
| Walton Plating Ltd     | No Data supplied   | n/a   | 6714   |

#### 9.1.2.3. Workers

#### Scope and type of assessment:

The worker exposure via inhalation for all WCS are assessed using the results of the regular chromic acid mist tests, personal air sampling and (where possible) biological monitoring of the worker. In most cases, the air sampling is carried out by specialist companies and analysed off site.

Air-monitoring samples were collected by drawing a measured volume of air through prepared filter media in accordance with the requirement of MDHS  $52/4^2$  and using the WEL's detailed in EH  $40/2005:2020^3$ .

For the chromic acid mist tests, the Sampling Head is positioned 300mm above the solution surface and for the personal air samples, the sampling head is positioned within the workers breathing zone.

# Table 4: Chromium trioxide concentration measured during surface treatment(mist test) - "worst case" results

| Company (site)                           | Sample Type          | Airborne level of<br>chromium trioxide<br>(mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Derby Plating Services Ltd               | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| East Lancashire Platers Ltd              | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| Global Metal Finishing Ltd               | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| Hard Anodising Surface<br>Treatments Ltd | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| Nu-Pro Limited                           | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | 0.01   |
| Russell Laboratories Ltd                 | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| Twickenham Plating Ltd                   | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | Not required for passivation                                   |
| Walton Plating Ltd                       | Mist test (MDHS52/4) | 0.01   |

#### Table 5: Chromium in Workers' Breathing zone (TWA) – "worst case" results

| Company (site)                           | Sample Type  | Airborne level of<br>chromium trioxide<br>(µg/m <sup>3</sup> 8hr TWA) |
|--|--------------|---|
| Derby Plating Services Ltd               | Personal Air |   |
| East Lancashire Platers Ltd              | Personal Air |   |
| Global Metal Finishing Ltd               | Personal Air |   |
| Hard Anodising Surface<br>Treatments Ltd | Personal Air |   |
| Nu-Pro Limited                           | Personal Air |   |
| Russell Laboratories Ltd                 | Personal Air |   |
| Twickenham Plating Ltd                   | Personal Air |   |
| Walton Plating Ltd                       | Personal Air |   |

| Company (site)                           | Sample Type           | Chromium in Urine<br>(µmol/mol creatinine)* |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Derby Plating Services Ltd               | Biological Monitoring |   |
| East Lancashire Platers Ltd              | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Global Metal Finishing Ltd               | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Hard Anodising Surface<br>Treatments Ltd | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Nu-Pro Limited                           | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Russell Laboratories Ltd                 | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Twickenham Plating Ltd                   | Biological Monitoring |   |
| Walton Plating Ltd                       | Biological Monitoring |   |

# Table 6: Biological monitoring (chrome in urine). Results assumed as 100%chromium trioxide.

Notes:

\*UK Biological Monitoring Guidance Value (BMGV) is 10µmol/mol (creatinine) with unexposed level being 2.9µmol/mol (creatinine).

\*\*Measurements below the unexposed (general public) level

\*\*\*measurements below BMGV

#### Comments on assessment approach related to toxicological hazard:

The assessment approach uses measured data from each of the sites within this group but, the low frequency and low volume of chromium trioxide additions and the relative proximity of the Worker to the plating process tanks during operation; the only viable data to assess exposure is the mist test and personal air sample results.

Some sites also collect biological monitoring data in order to assess exposure via all potential routes i.e., inhalation, ingestion and dermal contact absorption. This measure indicates "total chrome" but cannot distinguish between the valent states and is, therefore, an indicator only of control measure efficacy.

#### Comments on assessment approach related to physicochemical hazard:

Chromium Trioxide is classified as a Category 1 carcinogen (R45: 'May cause cancer') and, as such, does not have any Derived No Effect Limit (DNEL). Therefore, WEL and BMGV values (where available) are used as benchmarks for controls.

#### General information on risk management related to toxicological hazard:

The conditions of use detailed within this CSR (sections 9.2.1 to 9.2.7.) specify that all handling of the substance by the Worker should be done while wearing appropriate PPE relative to the task.

#### General information on risk management related to physicochemical hazard:

The conditions of storage, use & handling of the substance are detailed within this CSR under sections 9.2.1 to 9.2.7 and are utilised as the RMM's.

#### 9.1.2.4. Consumers

There is no exposure to consumers from the use of chromium trioxide in accordance with this application for Authorisation.

There are no releases of chromium trioxide to the environment from any of the group sites therefore the process operations involving chromium trioxide make no contribution to this potential route of human exposure.

#### 9.2. Exposure scenario 1 for workers

#### 9.2.1. Worker contributing scenario 1

This contributing scenario covers potential exposure during receipt of bulk raw materials and transfer to secure storage area.

#### 9.2.1.1. Conditions of use

|  | Method |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Product (article) characteristics  |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Sealed container containing dry flake/granule form comprising &gt;99.8%<br/>Chromium Trioxide.</li> </ul> |        |  |  |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure                                     |        |  |  |
| • 25Kg container. Average 4x per annum. No exposure as sealed container.   |        |  |  |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures   |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Keep sealed in original container. Transfer to store location.</li> </ul>                                 |        |  |  |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation                              |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in case of<br/>accidental spillage.</li> </ul> |        |  |  |
| Other conditions affecting workers exposure  |        |  |  |
| • n/a  |        |  |  |
| Additional good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REACH do not apply                      |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>use mechanical aids for movement and lifting where possible.</li> </ul>                                   |        |  |  |

#### 9.2.2. Worker contributing scenario 2

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromic acid during the loading and unloading of components to/from Jigs or wires in preparation for the plating process/final inspection.

#### 9.2.2.1. Conditions of use

|  | Method |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Product (article) characteristics  |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Components contaminated with chromic acid (25% chromium trioxide)<br/>trapped in features.</li> </ul> |        |  |  |  |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure                                 |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Very small amount (unquantified)</li> </ul>   |        |  |  |  |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures   |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Rinsing jigs &amp; components is the final stage in the plating process.</li> </ul>                   |        |  |  |  |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation                          |        |  |  |  |

|          |  | Method          |
|----------|--|-----------------|
| •        | Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in combination with specific employee training. |                 |
| Other of | conditions affecting workers exposure  |                 |
| •        | Final rinse (x2) after process to remove residue.  |                 |
| Additio  | onal good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REA                                   | CH do not apply |
| •        | Specific training when working with chromium trioxide.   |                 |

#### 9.2.3. Worker contributing scenario 3

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromic acid during the treatment operation either via surface contamination or airborne mist. (note; total process time is typically 1.5-2.25 hours including 2-3 minutes for the chrome coating).

#### 9.2.3.1. Conditions of use

|                                   |   | Method          |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| Product (article) characteristics |   |                 |  |  |
| •                                 | Chromic acid containing approximately 25% chromium trioxide.  |                 |  |  |
| Amou                              | nt used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure  | e               |  |  |
| •                                 | Automated or enclosed process lines restrict worker access to operational tanks and LEV (Extraction) results in reduced potential airborne mist exposure. |                 |  |  |
| Techni                            | ical and organisational conditions and measures   |                 |  |  |
|                                   | Physical barriers on automated lines.<br>Extraction / local exhaust ventilation where required  |                 |  |  |
| Condi                             | tions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health eva   | aluation        |  |  |
| •                                 | Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in combination with specific employee training.  |                 |  |  |
| Other                             | conditions affecting workers exposure   |                 |  |  |
| •                                 | n/a   |                 |  |  |
| Additi                            | onal good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REA  | CH do not apply |  |  |
| •                                 | Specific training when working with chromium trioxide.  |                 |  |  |

#### 9.2.4. Worker contributing scenario 4

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromic acid while taking samples for analysis which is normally done by Suppliers' own Service Chemist or Third party specialist.

#### 9.2.4.1. Conditions of use

|  | Method |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Product (article) characteristics  |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Chromic acid (25% chromium trioxide)</li> </ul>                       |        |  |  |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure |        |  |  |
| 100ml typically once per month.  |        |  |  |
| Technical and organisational conditions and measures                           |        |  |  |
| Seal sample jar and thoroughly rinse before removing from the plating          |        |  |  |

|  |  | Method          |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
|  | line.  |                 |  |  |
| Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation  |  |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in combination<br/>with specific employee training.</li> </ul> |  |                 |  |  |
| Other o  | conditions affecting workers exposure  |                 |  |  |
|  | n/a  |                 |  |  |
| Additio  | onal good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REA   | CH do not apply |  |  |
|  | avoid repetitive handling (decanting) to keep exposure to a minimum.<br>Specific training when working with chromium trioxide. |                 |  |  |

#### 9.2.5. Worker contributing scenario 5.

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromium trioxide during the addition of chromium trioxide flakes to the surface treatment solution.

#### 9.2.5.1. Conditions of use

|         |  | Method          |  |  |  |
|---------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Produc  | Product (article) characteristics  |                 |  |  |  |
| •       | Dry flake/granule form containing >99.8% Chromium Trioxide   |                 |  |  |  |
| Amour   | Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure   |                 |  |  |  |
| •       | 2Kg per week average<br>Short term exposure (typically once per 4 weeks, 10 minutes total)   |                 |  |  |  |
| Techni  | cal and organisational conditions and measures   |                 |  |  |  |
| •       | Keep sealed in original container, in specified area when not in use   |                 |  |  |  |
| Condit  | ions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health eva   | aluation        |  |  |  |
| •       | <ul> <li>Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection and particulate<br/>filter mask in combination with specific employee training.</li> </ul> |                 |  |  |  |
| Other   | conditions affecting workers exposure  |                 |  |  |  |
| •       | n/a  |                 |  |  |  |
| Additio | onal good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REA   | CH do not apply |  |  |  |
| •       | avoid repetitive handling (decanting) to keep dust exposure to a minimum.<br>Wash empty container and re-seal prior to disposal according to local             |                 |  |  |  |
| •       | regulations.<br>Specific training when working with chromium trioxide.   |                 |  |  |  |

#### 9.2.6. Worker contributing scenario 6.

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromic acid (chromium trioxide) during maintenance of equipment on the surface treatment line.

#### 9.2.6.1. Conditions of use

|  | Method |
|--|--------|
| Product (article) characteristics  |        |
| <ul> <li>Chromic acid (25% chromium trioxide)</li> </ul>                       |        |
| Amount used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure | e      |
| <ul> <li>Occasional exposure subject to requirements</li> </ul>                |        |

|   |   | Method |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Technie   | Technical and organisational conditions and measures  |        |  |  |  |  |
| •   | commences.  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Conditi   | Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation                         |        |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in combination<br/>with specific employee training. Appropriate RPE if required.</li> </ul> |   |        |  |  |  |  |
| Other of  | Other conditions affecting workers exposure   |        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Confined spaces   |        |  |  |  |  |
| Additio   | Additional good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REACH do not apply                 |        |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Parts replaced should be cleaned prior to disposal.<br>Specific training when working with chromium trioxide. |        |  |  |  |  |

#### 9.2.7. Worker contributing scenario 7.

This contributing scenario covers the potential exposure to chromic acid flowing to the Effluent Treatment Plant (ETP) during the treatment of wastewater (contaminated) from the rinsing process (tank/spray).

#### 9.2.7.1. Conditions of use

|         |  | Method          |
|---------|--|-----------------|
| Produc  | ct (article) characteristics   |                 |
| •       | Chromic acid (up to 25% chromium trioxide).  |                 |
| Amour   | nt used (or contained in articles), frequency and duration of use/exposure   | e               |
| •       | ~0.3Kg per year, diluted by 46,574,000 litres water. Flows through to ETP.   |                 |
| Techni  | cal and organisational conditions and measures   |                 |
| •       | Reduced to trivalent chromium by adding sodium metabisulphite in effluent treatment plant.                                     |                 |
| Condit  | ions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health eva   | aluation        |
| •       | Wear chemically resistant gloves, coverall, eye protection in combination with specific employee training.                     |                 |
| Other   | conditions affecting workers exposure  |                 |
|         | n/a  |                 |
| Additio | onal good practice advice. Obligations according to Article 37(4) of REA   | CH do not apply |
| •       | avoid repetitive handling (decanting) to keep exposure to a minimum.<br>Specific training when working with chromium trioxide. |                 |

#### 9.3 Combined exposure for all WCS

It is assumed that there is potential for exposure to chromium trioxide from all 7 worker contributing scenarios.

Chromium trioxide is bio-accumulative and exposure potential is via inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal absorption. Results from the regulated monitoring methods of mist testing

and personal air sampling all show that the RMM's are effective in maintaining exposures to levels below the WEL for chromium trioxide from both the process generated and TWA measures.

Where biological monitoring is conducted, these results also demonstrate that the RMM's are effective and that all of the results are significantly below the BMGV of 10 $\mu$ mol/mol (creatinine) and with a number of these being below the "un-exposed" level of 2.9 $\mu$ mol/mol (creatinine).

# **10. RISK CHARACTERISATION RELATED TO COMBINED EXPOSURE**

#### **10.1. Human health (related to combined, shift-long exposure)**

#### 10.1.1. Workers

| Contributing | Route of   | 8h TWA exposure         | WEL (EH40/2005 - 4 <sup>th</sup> Edition      | Excess risk factor |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|
| scenario     | exposure   | values, corrected       | 2020)   | (from CSR)         |
|              |            | for PPE and             | (8-hr TWA reference period)                   |                    |
|              |            | frequency (Table        |   |                    |
|              |            | 2)                      |   |                    |
| *WCS 1-7     | Inhalation | 3.22µg/m <sup>3**</sup> | 0.025mg/m <sup>3</sup> (25µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 0.014              |

 Table 7: Combined exposure and risk characterisation

\* WCSs that may be performed by the same worker/s within one shift

\*\* Averaged from Table 4

#### 10.1.2. Consumers

#### Exposure and risk characterisation

There is no exposure to consumers from the use of chromium trioxide in accordance with this application for Authorisation.

There are no releases of chromium trioxide to the environment from any of the group sites therefore the process operations involving chromium trioxide make no contribution to this potential route of human exposure.

#### **10.2.** Environment (combined for all emission sources)

Emissions to air are detailed in Table..... (Section 9.1.2.2) however, transformation of Cr(VI) to non-carcinogenic Cr(III) occurs rapidly under most environmental conditions and should, therefore be considered irrelevant.

There are no emissions to ground as all Group Companies facilities are effectively maintained and bunded.

There are no emissions to Surface Water.

All waste solutions from the process are reduced to the Trivalent state (CrIII) within the onsite ETP or collected by licensed waste treatment contractors to be reduced to CrIII before disposal to landfill. Liquid discharged to foul sewer and transmitted to the local WWTW is sampled and typical analysis results show chromium (total) to be in the range of 0.011mg/l to 0.127mg/l. This is well below the BAT standard of 1.0mg/l chromium (total).

As these discharges are via foul sewer to WWTW, where it is further diluted prior to discharge to surface water from the WWTW, the 'final' concentration of chromium discharged to surface water is further reduced.

As an example, one of the applicants discharges an average 121.69m3/day which flows to the local WWTW that would typically have a DWF of 47700m3/day. This is typical of the members of the consortium who hold consents to discharge Therefore the minimum DF is 47700/121.69 = 392:1 or  $0.127/392 = 3.239 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/l.

This means that if we assume the discharge to sewer is CrVI, rather than chromium (total), then the DF of  $3.239 \times 10^{-4}$  is a factor of 309 times lower than the BAT standard of 0.1mg/l during DWF.

# **11. REFERENCES**

- Application for Authorisation: Establishing a reference dose response relationship for the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium. Published by the Risk Assessment Committee of the European Chemicals Agency – RAC/27/2013/06 Rev. Final
- 2. MDHS 52/4 Hexavalent chromium in chromium plating mists. Published by the Health & Safety Executive 11/2014
- 3. EH40/2005 Workplace Exposure Limits (Fourth edition). Published by The Stationery Office ISBN 978 0 7176 6733 8