

Draft Assessment Report

Evaluation of Active Substances

Plant Protection Products

Prepared according to **assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009** as it applies in Great Britain

Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus

List of Endpoints

Great Britain

February 2025

Version History

When	What
June 2024	Initial DAR
February 2025	Updates made after ECP
February 2025	Updates made after additional information submitted post ECP
	Updates made after public consultation
	Updates made after additional information submitted post public consultation
	[Updates made after any additional steps not covered by the above]

Contents

Background 10
Implementation schedule 11
Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 1.3 and 3.2)
Identity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 1) 12
Physical and chemical properties (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 2)
Classification and labelling with regard to physical and chemical data (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 10)
Summary of representative uses evaluated, for which all risk assessments needed to be completed (Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus)
(Assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 3, 4) 18
Summary of additional intended uses for which MRL applications have been made, that in addition to the uses above, have also been considered in the consumer risk assessment (Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus))
Assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 Article 8.1(g)) 21
Further information, Efficacy
Effectiveness (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.2) 37
Adverse effects on field crops (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.4)
Observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.5)
Groundwater metabolites: Screening for biological activity (SANCO/221/2000- rev.10-final Step 3 a Stage 1)
Methods of Analysis

Analytical methods for the active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 4.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.2)
Analytical methods for residues (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 4.2 & point 7.4.2)
Residue definitions for monitoring purposes
Monitoring/Enforcement methods
Impact on Human and Animal Health 40
Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (toxicokinetics) (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.1 as applicable in GB)
Acute toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.2) 41
Short-term toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.3)
Genotoxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.4) 42
Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.5) 42
Reproductive toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.6)
Neurotoxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.7) 45
Other toxicological studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.8)
Medical data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.9) 45
Summary (assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009, Annex II, point 3.1 and 3.6). 46
Dermal absorption (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.3)
Exposure scenarios (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2)
Classification with regard to toxicological data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10) 51
Residues in or on treated products food and feed 52

Metabolism in plants (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.2.1, 6.5.1, 6.6.1 and 6.7.1)
Metabolism in livestock (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.2.2, 6.2.3, 6.2.4, 6.2.5 6.7.1)54
Nature of residues in Honey
Residues in succeeding crops (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.6.2)55
Stability of residues (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.1)56
Summary of residues data from the supervised residue trials (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.3)
Summary of data on residues in honey and related matrices (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.10.1)
Inputs for animal dietary burden calculations
Residues from livestock feeding studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.4.3 and 6.4.4) 61
Conversion Factors (CF) for enforcement to risk assessment
Processing factors (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.5.2 and 6.5.3)
Consumer risk assessment (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.9) Including all uses (representative uses and uses related to an MRL application)
Proposed MRLs (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.7.2 and 6.7.3)
Environmental fate and behaviour 64
Route of degradation (aerobic) in soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.1)64
Route of degradation (anaerobic) in soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.2)64
Route of degradation (photolysis) on soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.3)65

Rate of degradation in soil (aerobic) laboratory studies active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)
Rate of degradation in soil (aerobic) laboratory studies transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)
Rate of degradation field soil dissipation studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.2.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.2.1)
Combined laboratory and field kinetic endpoints for modelling (when not from different populations)*
Soil accumulation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.2.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.2.2)
Rate of degradation in soil (anaerobic) laboratory studies active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)
Rate of degradation in soil (anaerobic) laboratory studies transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.4 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)
Rate of degradation on soil (photolysis) laboratory active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.3
Soil adsorption active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.3.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)
Soil adsorption transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.3.1.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)
Mobility in soil column leaching active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.4.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)
Mobility in soil column leaching transformation products (assimilated RegulationNo 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.4.1.2 and assimilated

Lysimeter / field leaching studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 7.1.4.2 / 7.1.4.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.1.2.2 / 9.1.2.3)
Hydrolytic degradation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.1.1
Aqueous photochemical degradation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 7.2.1.2 / 7.2.1.3) 68
'Ready biodegradability' (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.1)
Aerobic mineralisation in surface water (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.1)
Water / sediment study (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.2)
Fate and behaviour in air (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.3.1)
Residues requiring further assessment (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.1) 69
Definition of the residue for monitoring (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.2)70
Monitoring data, if available (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.5
PEC _{Soil} (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.1.3 / 9.3.1) 70
PEC ground water (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.4.1)
PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80 th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)
PEC(gw) From lysimeter / field studies73
PEC surface water and PEC sediment (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.2.5 / 9.3.1)

	Estimation of concentrations from other routes of exposure (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.4)	75
E	cotoxicology	76
	Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.1)	76
	Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Part A, Annex point 10.1)	77
	Toxicity data for all aquatic tested species (assimilated Regulation No 283/201 Annex Part A, points 8.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part point 10.2)*	3, A, 80
	Bioconcentration in fish (Annex Part A, point 8.2.2.3)	83
	Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.2)	84
	Effects on bees (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.1)*	.1 86
	Effects on other arthropod species (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Anno Part A, point 8.3.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, poi 10.3.2)	əx nt 87
	Effects on non-target soil meso- and macro fauna; effects on soil nitrogen transformation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 8.4 8.5, and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, points 10.4, 10.5)	, 91
	Toxicity/exposure ratios for soil organisms	92
	Effects on terrestrial non target higher plants (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.6 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.6)	92
	Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment (assimilated Regulation N 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.8)	o 95
	Monitoring data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.9 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.8)	95
	Definition of the residue for monitoring (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.2) Ecotoxicologically relevant compounds ¹	95

Classification and labelling with regard to ecotoxicological data (assimilated	
Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10)	. 96
Used compounds code(s)	. 97
Further information	. 98

Background

This template for the List of Endpoints reflects the new data requirements for active substances and plant protection products as set out in assimilated Regulations No 283/2013 and 284/2013 of 1 March 2013, in accordance with assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market

This template should be used in conjunction with the

- TEMPLATE TO BE USED FOR ASSESSMENT REPORTS (SANCO/12592/2012)
- TEMPLATE TO BE USED FOR ASSESSMENT REPORTS REGARDING LEVEL 3 OF VOLUME 1 (SANCO/11114/2012).

It is envisaged that there will be a general review of the templates for the List of Endpoints within the next years.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis

Implementation schedule

This document as regards the list of endpoints for chemical active substances has been finalised in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed on 11 July 2014. The list of endpoints for microorganisms has been finalised in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed on 12 December 2014.

This template should be used for assessment reports prepared for active substances for which an application for the approval or renewal of approval has been submitted as from 1 March 2015.

Preferably these templates should also be used for assessment reports for all active substances (chemicals as well as microorganisms):

- For which an application for approval has been submitted after 1 January 2014 (i.e. an application according to the data requirements as laid down in assimilated Regulation No 283/2013 and No 284/2013),
- covered by assimilated Regulation No 844/2012 setting out the provisions necessary for the implementation of the renewal procedure for active substances, as provided for in assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market for which an application for the renewal of approval has been submitted before 1 March 2015.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis

Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 1.3 and 3.2)

Active substance (ISO Common Name)	Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
Function (e.g. fungicide)	Fungicide

Identity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 1)

Chemical name (IUPAC)	Not applicable (natural plant protein)
Chemical name (CA)	Not applicable (natural plant protein)
CIPAC No	N/A
CAS No	Not available for aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus Lead component BLAD: 1219521-95-5
EC No (EINECS or ELINCS)	701-313-1
FAO Specification	Not available
Minimum purity of the active substance as manufactured	Lead component BLAD: 20 % w/w (nominal), min-max: 18.8 % w/w - 21.2 % w/w
Identity of relevant impurities (of toxicological, ecotoxicological and/or	Quinolizidine alkaloids (QAs) with a limit of 0.005% w/w with lupanine as a marker compound, Max. 0.0035 % w/w

Competent Authority	Month a	and year	Active Substance (Name)					
CRD	June	2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus					
Section 1 Identity, Physi Information, Methods of	cal/ Chemical P Analysis	roperties, Detail	s of Uses, Further					
environmental concern)	in the active	None						
substance as manufactu	ured							
		It is noted that the	ə applicant proposed					
		inclusion of quinc	<mark>lizidine alkaloids at total</mark>					
		<mark>QAs max. 0.005 (</mark>	<mark>% w∕w. Quinolizidine</mark>					
		<mark>alkaloids may be</mark>	present in lupin seeds,					
		which subsequer	<mark>itly may then be found in</mark>					
		the aqueous extract from the germinated						
		seeds of sweet Lupinus albus at low levels.						
		If the content of the total QAs in the extract						
		exceeds 0.006 % w/w, which may be						
		indicated by the content of lupanine, they						
		are designated a	<mark>s relevant impurities and a</mark>					
		clause may be required to limit their						
		concentration.						
Molecular formula		N/A						
Molar mass		N/A						
Structural formula		N/A						

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis

Physical and chemical properties (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 2)

Melting point (purity)	N/A
Boiling point (purity)	100°C (100%)
Temperature of decomposition (purity)	N/A
Appearance (purity)	Dark brown coloured, viscous liquid with a pungent odour
Vapour pressure (temperature, purity)	Not determined
Henry's Law constant (temperature)	Not determined
Solubility in water (temperature, purity and pH)	Not determined
Solubility in organic solvents (temperature, purity)	Not determined
Surface tension (concentration and temperature, purity)	29.3 mN/m at 1.00 g/L aqueous dilution at 20.2°C
Partition coefficient (temperature, pH and purity)	Not determined
Dissociation constant (purity)	Not determined
UV/VIS absorption (max.) incl. ε (purity, pH)	For the lead component BLAD: UV-vis absorption spectra, neutral, 1.857 x 10 ⁻⁶ mol/L

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)				
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus				
Section 1 Identity, Physic	cal/ Chemical Properties, Detail	s of Uses, Further				
	Mayolongth	Molar				
	of maximum	absorption				
	(nm)	coefficient				
		(s) value				
		calculated				
		(L/(mol⋅cm))				
	204.944	1.862 x 10 ⁶				
	276.233	1.335 x 10 ⁵				
	289.97*	6.139 x 10 ⁴				
	UV-vis absorp 2.114 x 10 ⁻⁷ m Wavelength of maximum (nm) 201.124	tion spectra, acidic, ol/L Molar absorption coefficient (ε) value calculated (L/(mol·cm)) 3.903 x 10 ⁶				
	276.009	6.149 x 10 ⁴				
	289.97*	9.461 x 10 ³				
	*there were no wavelength, b calculated the coefficients in conditions. Th completeness UV-vis absorp 4.229 x 10 ⁻⁷ m	o maxima at this ut the applicant molar absorption neutral and acidic e data is presented for tion spectra, alkaline, ol/L				

Competent Authority	Month a	and year	Active Substance (Name)				
CRD	June	2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus				
Section 1 Identity, Physic Information, Methods of	Properties, Detail	s of Uses, Further					
		Wavelength of maximum (nm) 208.415 290.391	Molar absorption coefficient (ε) value calculated (L/(mol·cm)) 2.979 x 10 ⁶ 1.655 x 10 ⁵				
Flammability (purity)		Not flammable – flash point >100°C					
Explosive properties (pu	Explosive properties (purity) Not explosive						
Oxidising properties (pu	rity)	Not oxidising					

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis

Classification and labelling with regard to physical and chemical data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 10)

Substance	Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
Mandatory classification according to assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008 and its Adaptations to Technical Process.	No current harmonised classification.
GB Authority proposal ¹ for mandatory classification according to assimilated Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:	No mandatory classification in GB is proposed (HSE, 2023) ²

¹ It should be noted that mandatory classification and labelling is formally proposed and decided in accordance with assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008. Proposals for mandatory classification made in the context of the evaluation procedure under assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 are not formal proposals.

² HSE (2023) MCL Technical Report: proposal for mandatory classification and labelling (MCL) of 'aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus', based on Annex VI, Part 2 of the assimilated CLP Regulation No 1272/2008. Date of report: November 2023. Accessed date: 30th April 2024. Available at https://www.hse.gov.uk

List	of	end	points

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of
		sweet Lupinus albus

Section 1 Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis Summary of representative uses evaluated, for which all risk assessments needed to be completed (Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus)

(Assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 3, 4)

Crop and/or situation State (a)		Product Name	F G I (b)	Pests or	Formulation Application			Application rate per treatment							
	Member State			group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc of a.i. g/kg (i)	Method kind (f-h)	Growth stage and season (j)	Number min max (k)	Interval between applications (min)	Kg a.i./hl min max (g/hl)	Water I/ha min max	L a.i./ha min max (*) (kg/ha)	PHI (days) (I)	Remarks (m)
Strawberry	GB	PROBLAD PLUS	F	Foliar fungi BOTRCI SPHRMA	Soluble concentrate (SL)	1000 g/kg (PROBLAD PLUS is a UVCB substance and is considered to be 100% pure with the lead component BLAD at 250g/L)	Foliar overall	BBCH 61-89 Spring to Summer	1-6	8 days	0.251- 0.893 kg a.i./hL	450- 1000	Min 2.0 (2.51) Max 3.2 (4.016)	01	Equivalent to maximum 800 g/ha lead component (BLAD) Note: (kg/ha) is based on a density of 1.255 g/mL.

Competent Authority				Month and year					Active Substance (Name)						
		CRD					June	2024			Aqueous extract from the germinated se sweet Lupinus albus				nated seed of s
Section 1	Identity,	Physical a	nd (Chemical Pro	operties, D	etails of Use	es, Furth	her Inform	nation, I	Methods of	of Analysis	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Strawberry	GB	PROBLAD PLUS	G	Foliar fungi BOTRCI SPHRMA	Soluble concentrate (SL)	1000 g/kg (PROBLAD PLUS is a UVCB substance and is considered to be 100% pure with the lead component BLAD at 250 g/L)	Foliar overall	BBCH 61-89 All seasons	1-6	8 days	0.251- 0.893 kg a.i./hL	450- 1000	Min 2.0 (2.51) Max 3.2 (4.016)	θ1	Equivalent to maximum 800 g/ha lead component (BLAD) Note: (kg/ha) is based on a density of 1.255 g/mL.
Tomatoes	GB	PROBLAD PLUS	F	Foliar fungi BOTRCI OIDINL	Soluble concentrate (SL)	1000 g/kg (PROBLAD PLUS is a UVCB substance and is considered to be 100% pure with the lead component BLAD at 250 g/L)	Foliar overall	BBCH 61-89 Spring to Summer	1-6	8 days	0.251- 2.01 kg a.i./hL	200- 1000	Min 2.0 (2.51) Max 3.2 (4.016)	01	Equivalent to maximum 800 g/ha lead component (BLAD) Note: (kg/ha) is based on a density of 1.255 g/mL.
Tomatoes	GB	PROBLAD PLUS	G	Foliar fungi BOTRCI OIDINL	Soluble concentrate (SL)	1000 g/kg (PROBLAD PLUS is a UVCB substance and is considered to	Foliar overall	BBCH 61-89 All seasons	1-6	8 days	0.251- 2.01 kg a.i./hL	200- 1000	Min 2.0 (2.51)	0 1	Equivalent to maximum 800 g/ha lead component (BLAD)

Competent Authorit CRD		Month and year June 2024	Aq	Active Substance (Name) Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus					
Section 1 Identity, Physical and C	emical Properties, Details of U be 100% pure with th lead componen BLAD at 250 g/L)	ses, Further Informati	on, Methods of Ai	nalysis	Max 3.2 (4.016)	Note: (kg/ha) is based on a density of 1.255 g/mL.			

(a) For crops, the GB and Codex classifications (both) should be taken into account; where	(i) g/kg or g/L. Normally the rate should be given for the active substance (according to ISO)
relevant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure)	and not for the variant in order to compare the rate for same active substances used in
	different variants (e.g. fluoroxypyr). In certain cases, where only one variant is synthesised, it
(b) State if the use is outdoor, field use (F) or glass house (G) or indoor use (I).	is more appropriate to give the rate for the variant (e.g. benthiavalicarb-isopropyl).
(c) e.g. biting and sucking insects, soil borne insects, foliar fungi, weeds	(j) Growth stages range from first to last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of
	Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on
(d) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)	season at time of application
	(b) Indianta the minimum and maximum number of applications passible under practical
(e) CropLife International Technical Monograph no 2, 6th Edition. Revised May 2008. Catalogu	e(k) indicate the minimum and maximum number of applications possible under practical
or pesticide	
(f) All approviations used must be explained	(I) The values should be given in g or kg whatever gives the more manageable number (e.g.
	200 kg/ha instead of 200 000 g/ha or 12.5 g/ha instead of 0.0125 kg/ha
(a) Method, e.a. high volume spraving, low volume spraving, spreading, dusting, drench	
(g,	(m) PHI - minimum pre-harvest interval
(h) Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plant- type	of
equipment used must be indicated	

	List of end points	
Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

.. . .

Section 1 Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis Summary of additional intended uses for which MRL applications have been made, that in addition to the uses above, have also been considered in the consumer risk assessment (Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus))

Assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 Article 8.1(g))

Important note: efficacy, environmental risk and risk to humans by exposure other than via their diet have not been assessed for these uses

					Preparat	ion		App	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (I) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
MRL Application (a	according to	Article 8.1(g)	of assi	milated Regulatior	n No 1107/2009)										
Strawberry	UK	PROBLAD	F	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including Podosphaera aphanis (PODOAP), Oidium sp.	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 40-94 Spring to Summer	6	8 days	0.4016 -0.892	450- 1000	4.016	1	Open field, open protective structures (nets and open plastic covers) and walk-in tunnels. Note permanent

Co	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır 🔤			Act	ive Sub	stance ((Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act fron weet Lu	n the ge Ipinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identit	y, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	ysis				
					Prepara	tion		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				(OIDISP), Erysiphe sp. (ERYSSP)											protected uses assessed in interzonal dRR
Table and Wine Grapes	UK	PROBLAD	F	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Erysiphe necator (UNCINE)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 55-89 Spring to Autumn	6	7 days	0.4016 -2.008	200- 1000	4.016	1	LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Stone Fruit (Peach, Plum, Sweet Cherry, Sour Cherry, Apricot, Nectarine)	UK	PROBLAD	F	Monilinia sp. (MONISP), including: M. laxa, (MONILA), M. fructicola (MONIFC) and M. fructigena (MONIFG) Powdery mildew, including: Podospharea pannosa	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 71-87 Spring to Autumn	3	7 days	0.2677	1000- 1500	4.016	1	Qualified recommend ations for <u>USE</u> proposed. extrapolated from SEU stone fruit efficacy data. Note environment al risk assessment for UK specific GAP (which differs from

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	r			Act	ive Sub	stance (Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act fron weet Lu	n the ge Ipinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identi	ty, Physic	al and Ch	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	rther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Anal	ysis				
					Prepara	ition		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				(SPHRPA), Podosphaera sp. (PODOSP), Podospharea leucotricha (PODOLE), Sphaerotheca sp. (SPHRSP)											CEU GAP in time of application), is provided in the national addenda B8 and 9
Walnuts	UK	PROBLAD	F	Monilinia sp. (MONISP), including M. laxa (MONILA), M. fructicola (MONIFC) and M. fructigena (MONIFG) Powdery mildew, including Phyllactinia guttata (PHYLGU), Podospharea pannosa (SPHRPA), Podosphaera sp. (PODOSP), Podospharea	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 71-87 Spring to Autumn	3	7 days	0.2677 - 0.4016	1000- 1500	4.016	1	Qualified recommend ations for use proposed. extrapolated from SEU stone fruit efficacy data. Note environment al risk assessment for UK specific GAP (which differs from CEU GAP in time of application), is provided in the national

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	r			Act	ive Sub	stance ((Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act from weet Lu	n the ge pinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Ident	ity, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	ther Info	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis			-	
					Prepara	tion		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	t e per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				leucotricha (PODOLE), Sphaerotheca sp. (SPHRSP)											addenda B8 and 9
Hazelnuts	UK	PROBLAD	F	Monilinia sp. (MONISP), including M. laxa, (MONILA), M. fructicola (MONIFC) and M. fructigena (MONIFG) Powdery mildew, including Phyllactinia guttata (PHYLGU), Podospharea pannosa (SPHRPA), Podospharea sp. (PODOSP), Podospharea leucotricha (PODOLE), Sphaerotheca sp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 71-87 Spring to Autumn	3	7 days	0.2677 - 0.4016	1000- 1500	4.016	1	Qualified recommend ations for use proposed. extrapolated from SEU stone fruit efficacy data. Note environment al risk assessment for UK specific GAP (which differs from CEU GAP in time of application), is provided in the national addenda B8 and 9

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır			Act	ive Sub	stance (Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act from weet Lu	n the ge pinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identi	ty, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	ther Info	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis				
					Prepara	tion		App	lication		Appl	ication rat	e per		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Chestnuts	UK	PROBLAD	F	Monilinia sp. (MONISP), including M. laxa, (MONILA), M. fructicola (MONIFC) and M. fructigena (MONIFG) Powdery mildew, including Erysiphe flexuosa (ERYSFL), Podospharea pannosa (SPHRPA), Podospharea sp. (PODOSP), Podospharea leucotricha (PODOLE), Sphaerotheca sp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 71-87 Spring to Autumn	3	7 days	0.2677	1000- 1500	4.016	1	Qualified recommend ations for USE proposed. extrapolated from SEU stone fruit efficacy data. Note environment al risk assessment for UK specific GAP (which differs from CEU GAP in time of application), is provided in the national addenda B8 and 9

Co	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır			Act	ive Sub	stance (Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act fron weet Lu	n the ge pinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identit	y, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fur	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis				
					Prepara	tion		App	lication		Appl	ication rat	e per		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Almonds	UK	PROBLAD	F	Monilinia sp. (MONISP), including M. laxa, (MONILA), M. fructicola (MONIFC) and M. fructigena (MONIFG) Powdery mildew, including Erysiphe flexuosa (ERYSFL), Podospharea pannosa (SPHRPA), Podospharea sp. (PODOSP), Podospharea leucotricha (PODOLE), Sphaerotheca sp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 71-87 Spring to Autumn	3	7 days	0.2677 - 0.4016	1000-1500	4.016	1	Qualified recommend ations for <u>use</u> proposed. extrapolated from SEU stone fruit efficacy data. Note environment al risk assessment for UK specific GAP (which differs from CEU GAP in time of application), is provided in the national addenda B8 and 9

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	r			Act	ive Sub	stance ((Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act from weet Lu	n the ge pinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Ident	ity, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fur	ther Info	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis				
					Prepara	tion		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	t e per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Strawberry	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including Podosphaera aphanis (PODOAP), Oidium sp. (OIDISP), Erysiphe sp. (ERYSSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 40-94 Spring to Summer	6	8 days	0.4016 -0.892	450- 1000	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance)
Tomato	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Oidium neolycopersici (OIDINL), Podosphaera sp. (PODOSP),	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır			Act	ive Sub	stance	(Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act fron weet Lu	n the ge Ipinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Ident	ity, Physic	al and Ch	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fur	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	ysis				
					Prepara	tion		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				Leveillula taurica (LEVETA), Leveillula sp. (LEVESP)											in the dRR/BAD
Aubergine	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, Leveillula taurica (LEVETA) and Oidium neolycopersici (OIDINL).	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Cucumber	UK	PROBLAD	G	Powdery mildew, including Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP),	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA

C	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır			Act	ive Sub	stance	(Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act from weet Lu	n the ge Ipinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identi	ty, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	ther Info	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis			1	
					Prepara	tion		App	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)											conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Courgette and Summer Squash	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD

С	ompetent	Authority				Month	and yea	ır			Act	ive Sub	stance ((Name)	
	CR	D				Jun	e 2024			Aqueo	ous extr s	act fron weet Lu	n the ge Ipinus a	rminate Ibus	d seed of
Section 1 Identi	ity, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fur	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis				
					Preparat	tion		Арр	lication		Appl	ication rat	te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Melon	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Watermelon	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including:	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions

Co			Month	and yea	r		Active Substance (Name)									
	CR	D			June 2024						Aqueous extract from the germinated seed o sweet Lupinus albus					
Section 1 Identit	y, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fui	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis					
					Preparation		Application			A		ication rat	te per t			
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks	
				Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)											will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD	
Pumpkin and Winter squash	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD	

Co			Month Jun	and yea e 2024	ır		Active Substance (Name) Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus								
Section 1 Identit	ection 1 Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties,				, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods Preparation Application					ds of Analy	/sis Appl				
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (I) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
				Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)											
Gherkins	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD

С			Month	and yea		Active Substance (Name)									
		June 2024						Aqueous extract from the germinated seed o sweet Lupinus albus							
Section 1 Identi	ity, Physic	al and Ch	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fu	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	ysis				
					Prepara	Application				Appl	Application rate per treatment				
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Pepper	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Leveillula taurica (LEVETA), Leveillula sp. (LEVESP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Chilli Pepper	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Leveillula taurica (LEVETA), Leveillula sp. (LEVESP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD

C			Month	and yea	r		Active Substance (Name)								
		June 2024						Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus							
Section 1 Ident	ity, Physic	al and Che	emica	al Properties,	Details of U	ses, Fur	ther Inf	ormation	, Metho	ds of Analy	/sis				
						Preparation			lication	Application rate treatment			te per t		
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
Okra	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Powdery mildew, including: Golovinomyces cichoracearum (ERYSCI), Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP), Podosphaera fuliginea (SPHRFU) and Sphaerotheca spp. (SPHRSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD
Sweetcorn	UK	PROBLAD	G	Botrytis cinerea (BOTRCI) Erysiphe spp. (ERYSSP)	Soluble Concentrate	UVCB 100%	Foliar overall	BBCH 20-89 All seasons	6	7 days	0.2677 -2.008	200- 1500	4.016	1	Permanent glasshouses and walk-in tunnels (define as per EFSA guidance). LWA conversions

	Co	mpetent	Authority				Montha	and year	Active Substance (Name)					
CRD						June 2024				Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus				
Section	Section 1 Identity, Physical and Chemical Propert			ies, l	Details of Uses, Fur	ther Information, Methods	s of Analy	/sis						
							Preparation	Application		Application rate per treatment				

												treatment			
Crop and/or situation (a)	GB or country For IT	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (C)	Type (d-f)	Conc. a.i. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Timing/ Growth Stages & season (j)	number min- max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s /hL min- max (I)	Water Volume L/ha min- max	kg a.s./ha min- max (l) a) max rate per appl b) max total rate per crop/ season	PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
															will be proposed and considered in the dRR/BAD

(a) For ci	ops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be taken into account; where	(i)	g/kg or g/L. Normally the rate should be given for the active substance (according to ISO) and
releva	ant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure)		not for the variant in order to compare the rate for same active substances used in different
(b)	Outdoor or field use (F), greenhouse application (G) or indoor application (I)		variants (e.g. fluoroxypyr). In certain cases, where only one variant is synthesised, it is more appropriate to give the rate for the variant (e.g. benthiavalicarb-isopropyl).
(c)	e.g. biting and sucking insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds	(j)	Growth stage range from first to last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time
(d)	e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)		of application
(e) Catal	CropLife International Technical Monograph no 2, 6th Edition. Revised May 2008. ogue of pesticide	(k)	Indicate the minimum and maximum number of applications possible under practical conditions of use
(f)	All abbreviations used must be explained	(I)	The values should be given in g or kg whatever gives the more manageable number (e.g. 200 kg/ha instead of 200 000 g/ha or 12.5 g/ha instead of 0.0125 kg/ha

Competent Authority	Month an	d year	Active Substance (Name)					
CRD	June 2	024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus					
Section 1 Identity, Physical and Chemical Proper	ties, Details of Uses, Furth	ner Information, Methods of Analysis						
(g) Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume sprayi	ng, spreading, dusting, drench	(m) PHI - minimum pre-harve	st interval					
(h) Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual equipment used must be indicated	plant, between the plant- type of							
Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)						
-------------------------	----------------	---						
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus						

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis Further information, Efficacy

Effectiveness (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.2)

The representative uses/GAP's are supported.

Adverse effects on field crops (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.4)

The representative uses/GAP's are supported.

Observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.5)

The representative uses/GAP's are supported.

Groundwater metabolites: Screening for biological activity (SANCO/221/2000rev.10-final Step 3 a Stage 1)

No metabolites have been determined in soil, therefore consideration of metabolites in groundwater is not necessary.

Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information, Methods of Analysis Methods of Analysis

Analytical methods for the active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 4.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.2)

Technical a.s.	HPLC-UV Modified Lowry method, UV/Vis
Impurities in technical a.s.	<mark>GC-FID</mark> GC-MS/MS
Plant protection product	HPLC-UV Modified Lowry method, UV/Vis

Analytical methods for residues (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 4.2 & point 7.4.2)

Residue definitions for monitoring purposes

Food of plant origin	Not required
Food of animal origin	Not required
Honey	Not required
Soil	Not required
Sediment	Not required
Water surface	Not required
Drinking / Ground	Not required

Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 1 Identity, Physical/ Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Fu Information, Methods of Analysis		
Air	Not required	
Body fluids and tissues	Not required	

Monitoring/Enforcement methods

Food/feed of plant origin	Not required
Food/feed of animal origin	Not required
Honey	Not required
Soil	Not required
Water	Not required
Air	Not required
Body fluids and tissues	Not required

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology Impact on Human and Animal Health

Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (toxicokinetics) (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.1 as applicable in GB)

Rate and extent of oral absorption/systemic bioavailability	No specific data and none are required. The active substance is a UVCB plant extract, with all components (except intact BLAD which is subject to degradation to its amino acids in the gastro-intestinal tract) expected to be well absorbed. An estimate of 100% oral absorption can be applied in derivation of the AOEL.
Toxicokinetics	Tissue concentrations of all the components of the active substance are under physiological control as they are compounds naturally found in edible plant material.
Distribution	Extensive metabolism means that the active substance and its metabolites/derivatives are widely distributed.
Potential for bioaccumulation	No evidence for accumulation.
Rate and extent of excretion	No specific data, none required.
Metabolism in animals	The lead component, BLAD, is a polypeptide which is expected to undergo complete metabolism in mammals. Other components in the active substance are expected to follow the same extent of metabolism, with no metabolites of concern being formed.
In vitro metabolism	Not required

Competent Authority	Month and year		Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024		Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 2 Mammalian To	xicology		
Toxicologically relevant compounds (animals and plants)		Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus	
Toxicologically relevant (environment)	compounds	Aqueous extract seeds of sweet	t from the germinated Lupinus albus

Acute toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.2)

Rat LD₅₀ oral	> 5000 mg/kg bw
Rat LD50 dermal	> 2000 mg/kg bw
Rat LC ₅₀ inhalation	> 5.34 mg/l air /4h (nose only)
Skin irritation	Non-irritant
Eye irritation	Non-irritant
Skin sensitisation	Not sensitising (Buehler) and published literature
Phototoxicity	Not required

Short-term toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.3)

Target organ / critical effect	90-day oral rat: Minimal vacuolation in the brain and spinal cord (single animal) 22-day dermal rat: Local effects on the skin (hyperkeratosis, scabbing, erythema), no systemic effects
Relevant oral NOAEL	90-day rat: 500 mg/kg bw per day

Competent Authority		Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024		Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 2 Mammalian To	xicology	1	
		22-day, rat: 1000 mg/kg	bw per day
Relevant dermal NOAEL		22-day, rat: Local effects per day	s NOAEL 300 mg/kg bw
Relevant inhalation NOA	\EL	No data - not required	

Genotoxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.4)

In vitro studies	Ames test: negative (± S9) Mouse lymphoma gene mutation: negative (-S9), positive (+S9) Micronucleus assay: negative (± S9)
In vivo studies	Comet assay (stomach): negative
Photomutagenicity	No data -not required
Potential for genotoxicity	Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus is unlikely to be genotoxic

Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.5)

Long-term effects (target organ/critical effect)	No data- not required
Relevant long-term NOAEL	Not required
Carcinogenicity (target organ, tumour type)	No data- not required

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology		
Relevant NOAEL for carcinogenicity	Not required	

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology Reproductive toxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.6)

Reproduction toxicity

Reproduction target / critical effect	No data- not required
Relevant parental NOAEL	Not required
Relevant reproductive NOAEL	No data- not required
Relevant offspring NOAEL	Not required

Developmental toxicity

Developmental target / critical effect	No data- not required		
Relevant maternal NOAEL	Not required		
Relevant developmental NOAEL	Not required		

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology Neurotoxicity (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.7)

Acute neurotoxicity	Study not required
Repeated neurotoxicity	Study not required
Additional studies (e.g. delayed neurotoxicity, developmental neurotoxicity)	Study not required

Other toxicological studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.8)

Supplementary studies on the active substance	Not required
Endocrine disrupting properties	Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus is not an endocrine disruptor
Studies performed on metabolites or impurities	Not required

Medical data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 5.9)

Limited; new active substance, no
detrimental effects on health in
manufacturing personnel

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology Summary³ (assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009, Annex II, point 3.1 and 3.6)

	Value (mg/kg bw (per day))	Study	Uncertainty factor
Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	5	rat, 90-day	100
Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	Not required	-	-
Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL)	5	rat, 90-day	100*
Acute Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AAOEL)	Not required	-	-

* No correction for limited oral absorption/bioavailability is required.

Dermal absorption (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.3)

Representative formulation (indicate	No data - none required
name, type e.g. EC and concentration of active substance)	Default value of 2510 % for concentrate and dilution (EFSA 2017 guidance)

Exposure scenarios (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2)

Operators	Model: EFSA Calculator (Version 30 th March 2015, EFSA 2014 Guidance)					
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and strawberries, vehicle mounted boom sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha					
	% of AOELNo PPE (with workwear)11					

³ If available include also reference values for metabolites

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)		
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet		
Section 2 Mammalian Tox	icology			
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and straw	vberries, handheld manual		
	(tank and lance) sprayer, 4.016 k	g a.s./ha		
		% of AOEL		
	No PPE (with workwear)	5		
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and strav	vberries, handheld knapsack		
		% of AOEI		
	No PPE (with workwear)	2		
	Model: EUROPOEM			
	Use: Protected tomatoes and stra	awberries, handheld manual		
	(tank and lance) sprayer, 4.016 k	<u>g a.s./ha</u>		
		<u>% of AOEL</u>		
	No PPE (with workwear)	12		
	Model: EUROPOEM & EFSA Ca 2015, EFSA 2014 Guidance)	Ilculator (Version 30 th March		
	Use: Protected tomatoes and strasprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha	awberries, handheld knapsack		
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 13		
	Model: Online EFSA OPEX Mod	del (Version 1.0.2, EFSA 2022		
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and straw	vberries vehicle mounted boom		
	spraver, 4.016 kg a.s./ha	voernes, venicie mounted boom		
	<u></u>	% of AOEI		
	No PPF (with workwear)	9.6		
		5.0		
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and strav (tank and lance) spraver, 4,016 k	vberries, handheld manual g.a.s./ha		
	<u>,,,,,,,, .</u>	% of AOEI		
	No PPE (with workwear)	5.5		
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and strav	vberries, handheld knapsack		
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>/// 01 AOEL</u> 1.8		
	Use: Protected tomatoes and stra	awberries, 'normal' crop culture,		
	nanonelo manual (tank and lance) sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha.			
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>70 01 AOEL</u> 6.1		

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)					
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet					
Section 2 Mammalian Tox	kicology						
	Use: Protected tomatoes and strawberries, 'normal' crop culture,						
	handheld knapsack sprayer, 4.01	<u>6 kg a.s./ha.</u>					
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 6.3					
	Use: Protected tomatoes and strawberries, 'normal' crop culture.						
	manual trolley sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha.						
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 2.4					
	Use: Protected tomatoes and strawberries, 'dense' crop culture handheld manual (tank and lance) sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha.						
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>95.9</u>					
	Use: Protected tomatoes and strawberries, 'dense' crop culture, handheld knapsack sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha.						
	<u>% of AO</u> No PPE (with workwear)9						
Workers	Model: EFSA Calculator (Versio	on 30th March 2015, EFSA					
	<u>2014 Guidance)</u>	a a lba roaching/picking					
	No PPE (with workwear)	32					
	Use: Outdoor strawberries, 4.016	<u>kg a.s./ha, reaching/picking</u> <u>% of AOEL</u>					
	No PPE (with workwear)	38					
	Use: Protected tomatoes, 4.016	<u>kg a.s./ha, reaching/picking</u>					
	No PPE (without workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 74					
	Use: Protected strawberries, 4.016 kg a.s./ha, reaching/pickin						
	No PPE (without workwear)						
	Model: Online EFSA OPEX Model (Version 1.0.2, EFSA 2022 Guidance)						
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes, 4.016 kg	a.s./ha, reaching/picking					

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 2 Mammalian Tox	icology	
		<u>% of AOEL</u>
	No PPE (with workwear)	31.9
	Use: Outdoor strawberries, 4.016	kg a.s./ha, reaching/picking
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>% 01 AOEL</u> 38.3
	Use: Protected tomatoes, 4.016 k	<u>kg a.s./ha, reaching/picking</u> % of AOEI
	No PPE (without workwear)	75.1
	Model: HSE Decline Model (Util 2022 Guidance)	lising data from the EFSA
	Use: Protected strawberries, 4.01 reaching and picking	16 kg a.s./ha, searching,
	No PPE (without workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 161
	Use: Protected strawberries, 4.01 reaching and picking	16 kg a.s./ha, searching,
	No PPE (with workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 46
	Use: Protected strawberries, 4.01 reaching and picking. 21 days po	<u>16 kg a.s./ha, searching, st application</u>
	No PPE (without workwear)	<u>% of AOEL</u> 98.8
Residents and	Model: EFSA Calculator (Versid	on 30th March 2015, EFSA
Bystanders	<u>2014 Guidance)</u>	
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and strav sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha	vberries, vehicle mounted boom
	<u>Child Resident</u> Spray Drift	<u>% of AOEL</u> 1
	Vapour	<1
	Surface Deposits	1
	(Mean) sum of all Pathways	5
	<u>Adult Resident</u> Spray Drift	<u>% of AOEL</u> <1

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 2 Mammalian Tox	kicology	
	Vapour	<1
	Surface Deposits	<1
	(Moon) sum of all Pathways	о С
	(Mean) sum of an Pathways	3
	Model: Online FESA OPEX Mod	del (Version 1 0 2 FESA 2022
	Guidance)	
	Use: Outdoor tomatoes and straw	vberries, vehicle mounted boom
	sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha	
	Child Resident	<u>% of AOEL</u>
	Spray Drift	1.1
	Vapour	0.02
	Surface Deposits	0.7
	Entry into Treated Crops	5.4
	(Mean) sum of all Pathways	5.4
	Adult Posidont	
	Spray Drift	<u></u>
	Vapour	0.05
	Surface Deposits	0.003
	Entry into Treated Crops	3.0
	(Mean) sum of all Pathways	2.7
	Use: Protected tomatoes and stra	awberries, vehicle mounted
	boom sprayer, 4.016 kg a.s./ha	
	Child Resident	<u>% of AOEL</u>
	Spray Drift	5.6
	Vapour Surface Deposits	0.02
	Entry into Treated Crops	0.4 N/A
	(Mean) sum of all Pathways	4 0
	(mean) sum of an r anways	т.0
	Adult Resident	% of AOFI
	Spray Drift	3.1
	Vapour	0.005
	Surface Deposits	0.1
	Entry into Treated Crops	N/A
	(Mean) sum of all Pathways	2.1

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
0	the state of the second st	

Section 2 Mammalian Toxicology

Classification with regard to toxicological data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10)

Substance	Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
Mandatory classification according to assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008 and its Adaptations to Technical Process as applicable in GB:	No current harmonised classification.
GB Authority proposal ⁴ for mandatory classification according to assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008 as applicable in GB:	No mandatory classification in GB is proposed (HSE, 2023) ⁵

⁴ It should be noted that mandatory classification and labelling is formally proposed and decided in accordance with assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008. Proposals for mandatory classification made in the context of the evaluation procedure under assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 are not formal proposals.

⁵ HSE (2023) MCL Technical Report: proposal for mandatory classification and labelling (MCL) of 'aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus', based on Annex VI, Part 2 of the assimilated CLP Regulation No. 1272/2008. Date of report: November 2023. Accessed date: 30th April 2024. Available at https://www.hse.gov.uk

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues Residues in or on treated products food and feed

Metabolism in plants (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.2.1, 6.5.1, 6.6.1 and 6.7.1)

	Crop group	Crop(s)	Application(s)	DAT (days)
	Fruit	-	-	-
	Root crops	-	-	-
Primary crops	Leafy crops	-	-	-
(Plant groups covered)	Cereals/grass crops	-	-	-
	Pulses and oilseed	-	-	-
	Miscellaneous	-	-	-
	No data provided suggests that the degradation of th acids, simple car from the breakdo therefore not pos formed following components are consumers given	d – evidence bas e nature of residu e applied protein bohydrates and own of other natu sible or necessa treatment of cro not considered to they are natura	ed on literature ar ue will be a mixtur ns into naturally of lipids which are in irally occurring su ary to identify the of ps with PROBLAT o be of any conce I components of fo	nd limited data e of BLAD and ccurring amino adistinguishable bstances. It is components D PLUS. These ern for bodstuffs.
Rotational crops	Crop group	Crop(s)	PBI (days)	Comments
(metabolic pattern)	Root/tuber crops	-	-	-
	Leafy crops	-	-	-
	Cereals (small grain)	-	-	-

Competent Aut	Competent Authority Month ar		lonth an	nd year			Active Substance (Name)		
CRD	CRD June 2		2024 Ad ge			Aqueou germina Lu	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus		
Section 3 Resid	lues	Jes							
	Other		-			-			-
Rotational crop and primary crop metabolism similar?	No data soil; aqu albus wi compone persist ir consider	provide eous e l be su ents (ai n the na ation o	ed. I xtra bjec mino atura f res	PROBL ct from ct to bio o acids) al envire sidues i	AD PL the ge logical) in the onmen n rotat	US is rminat degra soil a t. The ional c	read ted s adation fter a refor crops	lily biode seeds of on into r applicati re no fui s is requ	egradable in f sweet Lupinus natural ion, and not rther uired.
Processed commodities (Standard hydrolysis study)	Condit 20 min, 90°C, p 60 min, 100°C, 20 min, 120°C,	ions - H 4 pH 5 pH 6	- - -						
Residue patterr in processed commodities similar to residue pattern in raw commodities?	No data and nat confide commo	a provic sure of t nce tha dities.	led the at th	- Given residue ere are	the lov , there no cor	w leve is suf ncerns	ls ex ficier s with	kpected nt inforn n residu	in treated crops, nation and es in processed
Plant residue de enforcement (R	efinition D-Enf)	for			Not re consic	quirec lered i	l (ba in thi	sed on is asses	the uses sment only)
Plant residue de assessment (RI	efinition D-RA)	for ris	k		Not re consic	quirec lered i	l (ba in thi	sed on is asses	the uses sment only)

Competent Authority	Month and year		Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024		Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 3 Residues			
Conversion factor (enforcement to risk assessment)		N/A	

Metabolism in livestock (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.2.2, 6.2.3, 6.2.4, 6.2.5 6.7.1)

	Animal	Dose bw/d	e (mg/kg))	Duration (days)	N rate / comment
Animals covered	Laying hen	-		-	-
	Goat / Cow	-		-	-
	Pig	-		-	-
	Fish	-		-	-
	(Not required and form a significant	d not p part o	provided. T of livestock	he representative diets.)	e uses do not
Time needed to reach a plateau concentration in milk and eggs (days))	N/A		
Animal residue definition for monitoring (RD-Mo)		ng	Not required (based on the uses considered in this assessment only)		
Animal residue definition for risk assessment (RD-RA)		Not required (based on the uses considered in this assessment only)			
Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment)		N/A			
Metabolism in rat and ruminant similar (Yes/No)		N/A			
Fat soluble residues (Yes/No)		Not able to determine			

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
· · · · · ·		

Section 3 Residues

Nature of residues in Honey

Honey residue definition for enforcement (RD-Enf)	Not required (based on the uses considered in this assessment only)
Honey residue definition for risk assessment (RD-RA)	Not required (based on the uses considered in this assessment only)
Conversion factor (enforcement to risk assessment)	N/A

Residues in succeeding crops (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.6.2)

Confined rotational crop study (Quantitative aspect)	No data provided. PROBLAD PLUS is readily biodegradable in soil; aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus will be subject to biological degradation into natural components (amino acids) in the soil after application, and not persist in the natural environment. Therefore no further consideration of residues in rotational crops is required.
Field rotational crop study	No data provided. PROBLAD PLUS is readily biodegradable in soil; aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus will be subject to biological degradation into natural components (amino acids) in the soil after application, and not persist in the natural environment. Therefore no further consideration of residues in rotational crops is required.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues

Stability of residues (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.1)

No data provided. Uncertainty regarding storage stability considered within the assessment.

Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet
		Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues

Summary of residues data from the supervised residue trials (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.3)

Commodity	Outdoor / Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials representative for the intended GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposals (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
Representative	uses					
Tomato	GB outdoor	BLAD < 0.03 0.6 mg/kg x 2 (note: a validated LOQ has not been established)	Trials are not fully relevant to the proposed GAPs but are supportive information for low residues	-	-	-
Strawberry	GB outdoor	BLAD < 0.03 0.6 mg/kg x 2 (note: a validated LOQ has not been established)	Trials are not fully relevant to the proposed GAPs but are supportive information for low residues	-	-	-

(a):Residues trials data relevant to the agricultural practices and climatic conditions in the UK, Indoor for glasshouse/protected crops. Country for an import tolerance.

Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet
		Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues

(b):Residue levels in trials conducted according to GAPs reported in ascending order. When residue definitions for enforcement and risk assessment differ, Enf/RA differentiate data expressed according to the residue definition for Enforcement and Risk Assessment.

(c): **HR**: Highest residue, according to the residue for risk assessment. When residue definition for enforcement and risk assessment differs, HR according to residue definition for enforcement reported in brackets (HR_{Enf}).

(d): **STMR**: Supervised Trials Median Residue. When residue definition for enforcement and risk assessment differs, STMR according to definition for enforcement reported in brackets (STMR_{Enf}).

Rapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet
		Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues

Summary of data on residues in honey and related matrices (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.10.1)

No data submitted or required.

In accordance with SANTE/11956/2016 rev.9, tomatoes are not considered melliferous therefore no further consideration is required.

Strawberries are considered melliferous therefore exposure to honey bees cannot be ruled out on this basis. Based on the low levels of BLAD found in plants in the studies provided to address plant metabolism and magnitude of residues in plants, significant residues are unlikely to be found in the flowering parts of strawberry plants. Hence, significant residues are not expected to be present in bee products. Additionally, the aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus is comprised of naturally occurring seed storage proteins found in lupin plants, which are themselves foraged by bees. Therefore the components that bees are potentially exposed to are not a concern in terms of potential residues in honey for the assessment of consumer exposure.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues Inputs for animal dietary burden calculations

Feed commodity	Median dietary burden		N	Maximum dietary burden		
	Input (mg/kg)	Comment	lı (1	nput mg/kg)	Comment	
The proposed repr	esentative	uses of PROBLAD PL	US are o	on straw	berries and tomatoes which	

The proposed representative uses of PROBLAD PLUS are on strawberries and tomatoes which are not used as feed for livestock.

The uses proposed to support MRLs beyond the representative uses also do not comprise a significant portion of livestock diets.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)	
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of	
		sweet Lupinus albus	

Section 3 Residues

Residues from livestock feeding studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.4.3 and 6.4.4)

No data submitted or required. The proposed representative uses of PROBLAD PLUS are on strawberries and tomatoes which are not used as feed for livestock. The uses proposed to support MRLs beyond the representative uses also do not comprise a significant portion of livestock diets.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet

Section 3 Residues

Conversion Factors (CF) for enforcement to risk assessment N/A

Processing factors (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.5.2 and 6.5.3)

No data provided.

Given the low levels expected in treated crops, and nature of the residue, there is sufficient information and confidence that there are no concerns with residues in processed commodities. No further information is required to address the effects of processing on residues of aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus.

Consumer risk assessment (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.9) Including all uses (representative uses and uses related to an MRL application).

ADI	5 mg/kg bw per day (based on 'PROBLAD PLUS')
NEDI (% ADI), according to UK model	Highest NEDI: N/A see Volume 1
IEDI (% ADI), according to EFSA PRIMo	Highest IEDI: N/A see Volume 1
Factors included in the calculations	None
ARfD	Not required
NESTI (% ARfD), according to UK model	N/A
IESTI (% ARfD), according to EFSA PRIMo	N/A
Factors included in IESTI and NESTI	N/A

Proposed MRLs (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.7.2 and 6.7.3)

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active Substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 3 Residues

Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus is proposed to be included in Part 4 of the GB MRL statutory Register and is exempt from MRLs.

CRD J	ne 2024 Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 4 Environmental fate and behaviour Environmental fate and behaviour

Route of degradation (aerobic) in soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.1)

Mineralisation after 100 days	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Non-extractable residues after 100 days	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Metabolites requiring further consideration - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents

Route of degradation (anaerobic) in soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.2)

Mineralisation after 100 days	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Non-extractable residues after 100 days	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Metabolites requiring further consideration - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Route of degradation (photolysis) on soil (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.3)

Metabolites that may require further consideration for risk assessment - name and/or code, % of applied (range and maximum)	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Mineralisation at study end	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents
Non-extractable residues at study end	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents

Rate of degradation in soil (aerobic) laboratory studies active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)

Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus and lead compound BLAD are readily biodegradable; ECHA guidance (2017) proposes a first order half-life of 30 days in soil (300 or 3000 days for compounds with higher Kd) for use in exposure models for readily biodegradable substances (no temperature correction required).

Rate of degradation in soil (aerobic) laboratory studies transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Rate of degradation field soil dissipation studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.2.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.2.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Combined laboratory and field kinetic endpoints for modelling (when not from different populations)*

Rate of degradation in soil active substance, normalised geometric mean (if not pH dependent)	300 (d) (value from ECHA guidance(2017) for ready biodegradable compounds with higher Kp	
Rate of degradation in soil transformation products, normalised geometric mean (if not pH dependent)	N/A	N/A
Kinetic formation fraction (f. f. k _f / k _{dp}) of transformation products, arithmetic mean	N/A	N/A

* Only relevant after implementation of the published EFSA guidance describing how to amalgamate laboratory and field endpoints.

a soil DT50 of 30 days can be accepted in future risk assessments

Soil accumulation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.2.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.2.2)

Soil accumulation and plateau	Not required
concentration	

Rate of degradation in soil (anaerobic) laboratory studies active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Rate of degradation in soil (anaerobic) laboratory studies transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.2.1.4 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.1.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents. 66

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 4 Environmental fate and behaviour Rate of degradation on soil (photolysis) laboratory active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.1.3

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Soil adsorption active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.3.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)

For the major component protein BLAD (20% of the product) a Koc of 10000 L/kg was estimated and used for groundwater risk assessment.

Soil adsorption transformation products (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.3.1.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)

For the quinolizidine alkaloid marker compound lupanine a Koc of 1287 L/kg was estimated using MCI method with the KOCWIN (version 2) model and Koc of 57.3 L/kg was estimated using QSAR method with the KOCWIN (version 2) model. Both values are used for groundwater risk assessment

Mobility in soil column leaching active substance (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.4.1.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Mobility in soil column leaching transformation products (assimilated RegulationNo 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.1.4.1.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.1.2.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Lysimeter / field leaching studies (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 7.1.4.2 / 7.1.4.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.1.2.2 / 9.1.2.3)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet

Hydrolytic degradation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.1.1

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Aqueous photochemical degradation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 7.2.1.2 / 7.2.1.3)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

'Ready biodegradability' (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.1)

Readily biodegradable	Yes (PROBLAD PLUS and major
(yes/no)	constituent BLAD are both readily biodegradable)

Aerobic mineralisation in surface water (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.1)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Water / sediment study (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.2.2.3 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.2)

Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents. Based upon classification as readily biodegradable a DT50 in surface water of 15 (d) is agreed. A DT50 in sediment of 300 (d) is agreed (default value from ECHA guidance(2017) for ready biodegradable compounds with higher Kp).

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Fate and behaviour in air (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.3.1)

Direct photolysis in air	Not studied - no data requested
Photochemical oxidative degradation in air	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.
Volatilisation (BBA guideline)	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.
Metabolites	Not required and impossible to conduct for naturally occurring plant extract composed of many different constituents.

Residues requiring further assessment (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.1)

Environmental occurring residues requiring further assessment by other	Soil: Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
disciplines (toxicology and ecotoxicology) and or requiring consideration for groundwater exposure	Surface water: Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
	Sediment: Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus
	Ground water: polypeptide BLAD and lupanine
	Air: Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Definition of the residue for monitoring (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.2)

See section 5, Ecotoxicology

Monitoring data, if available (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.5

Soil	Not required; a naturally occurring plant extract
Surface water	Not required; a naturally occurring plant extract
Ground water	Not required; a naturally occurring plant extract
Air	Not required; a naturally occurring plant extract

PEC_{Soil} (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.1.3 / 9.3.1)

Parent	DT ₅₀ (d)	300 <mark>#</mark> days
Method of calculation	Kinetics	SFO
	Field or Lab	Based on ready biodegradability screening study and ECHA guidance for readily biodegradable compound.
Application data	Сгор	Strawberry
	Application rate(s)	4016 g a.s./ha

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 4 Environmental	fate and behaviour	
	% plant interception	60%
	Number of applications	6
	Interval (d)	8
	Depth of soil layer	5 cm
	Soil bulk density	1.5 g/cm ³

a soil DT50 of 30 days can be accepted in future risk assessments

PEC _(Soil) (mg/kg)	Single application	Single application	Multiple application	Multiple application
	Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average
Initial	2.14		12.28	
Short term 24h		2.12		12.26
2d		2.10		12.25
4d		2.06		12.22
Long term 7d		2.00		12.28
28d		1.64		11.89
50d		1.35		11.59
100d		0.92		10.96
Plateau concentration	Not relevant			

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

PEC ground water (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.2.4.1)

Method of calculation and type of study (e.g. modelling, field leaching, lysimeter)	For FOCUS gw modelling, values used – Modelling using FOCUS model(s), with appropriate FOCUSgw scenarios, according to FOCUS guidance. Model(s) used: PEARL 4.4.4; PELMO 5.5.3 Crop: strawberry and tomato Crop uptake factor: 0		
Input parameters for BLAD (major constituent of PROBLAD PLUS)	Molecular weight (g/mol): 10000 Vapour pressure at 20°C (Pa): 0 Water solubility (mg/L): 1 x 10 ⁶ Koc (L/kg): 10000 1/n: 1 DT50 soil (days): 300 Plant uptake factor: 0		
Input parameters for Iupanine (relevant impurity of PROBLAD PLUS)	Molecular weight (g/mol): 248.4 Vapour pressure at 20°C (Pa): 0 Water solubility (mg/L): 1 x 10 ⁶ Koc (L/kg): 57.3 / 1287 Kfom (L/kg): 33 / 747 1/n: 1 DT50 soil (days): 30 / 300 Plant uptake factor: 0		
Application rate	Gross application rate: 4016 g/ha. Crop growth stage: BBCH 61-89 Canopy interception %: 60 (strawberry) and 80 (tomato) Application rate net of interception: 1606 g/ha (strawberry) and 803 g/ha (tomato). No. of applications: 6		
Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)	
--------------------------------	--	--	--
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus	
Section 4 Environmental	fate and behaviour		
	Time of applica application date application date strawberries an application date	tion (absolute or relative es): 01/06 (absolute) first e in d 12/07 (absolute) first e in tomato	

* Only relevant after implementation of the published EFSA guidance.

PEC(gw) - FOCUS modelling results (80th percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Model	Scenario	BLAD (µg/L)	Lupanine (µg/L)*
PEARL	Hamburg (strawberry)	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Kremsmünster (strawberry)	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Châteaudun (tomato)	< 0.001	< 0.001
PELMO	Hamburg (strawberry)	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Kremsmünster (strawberry)	< 0.001	< 0.001
	Châteaudun (tomato)	< 0.001	< 0.001

*using both high and low DT50 and Koc

PEC(gw) From lysimeter / field studies

No lysimeter studies were undertaken or required.

PEC surface water and PEC sediment (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, points 9.2.5 / 9.3.1)

Calculation method	Spray drift: HSE Excel Calculator (first tier); Drainflow: HSE Excel Calculator (first tier);
PROBLAD PLUS - parameters used in UK only spraydrift and drainflow considerations	Molecular weight (g/mol): 10000 Koc (mL/g): 1000* DegT50 soil (d): 300* DisT50 water (d): 15*

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)	
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus	
Section 4 Environmental fa	ate and behaviour		
	DegT50 sedin	nent (d): 300*	
	Maximum in s (default worst	ediment (% AR): 100 case)	
	*ECHA guidar biodegradable	nce for readily e compound	
Application rate	Crop and grov 61-89	Crop and growth stage: wheat BBCH 61-89	
	For spray drift	assessment:	
	Number of ap	plications: 6	
	Interval (d): 8		
	Application rat	te(s): 4016 g a.s./ha	
	For drainflow a	assessment:	
	Number of ap	plications: 1	
	Application ration ration equivalent PEC _{Soil} initial v after 6 x 4016 60% intercept	te: 9208 g a.s./ha (based application to give a value of 12.277 mg/kg i.e. g a.s./ha applied with ion)	
	Application wi	ndow: in drainflow period	

Spraydrift PECsw outputs

Spray Drift – PROBLAD PLUS			
Buffer zone (m)	Drift rate (%)*	PEC _{sw} (µg/L)	PEC _{Sed} (µg/kg)
1	2.01	63.3	-
5	0.68	13.1	-

*for three or more applications

Spray Drift – BLAD			
Buffer zone (m)	Drift rate (%)*	PEC _{sw} (µg/L)	PEC _{Sed} (µg/kg)
1	2.01	12.85	-
5	0.68	2.66	-

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 4 Environmental fate and behaviour

*for three or more applications

Drainflow PECsw (tier 1) outputs

Component	PECsw(µg/L)	PEC _{SED} (µg/kg)
PROBLAD PLUS	14.166	-

*output for multiple applications based upon PEC_{soil} value

Component	PECsw(µg/L)	PEC _{SED} (µg/kg)
BLAD	2.873	13.26

*output for multiple applications based upon PEC_{Soil} value

Estimation of concentrations from other routes of exposure (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 9.4)

No other routes of exposure required further consideration.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Continue E Exceptovinglamy		

Section 5 Ecotoxicology Ecotoxicology

Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.1)

Species	Test substances	Time scale	End point	Toxicity (mg/kg bw per day)
Birds				
Rat*	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 5000
Mammals				
Rat	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 5000

Endocrine disrupting properties (Annex Part A, points 8.1.5)

No endocrine disruptor studies were conducted or were considered necessary in the toxicology assessment. There were no indications of adverse effects on the endocrine system in the 90 day oral study in the rat. The main components of aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus have been identified as water, proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. These components are naturally occurring and will already contribute a large portion of the diet of terrestrial vertebrates. The lead component, BLAD, is a polypeptide which, under normal mammalian metabolism, will be broken down under enzymatic processes in the gastrointestinal tract, enter the amino acid pool and be consumed into normal metabolic processes. As such, the potential effects on endocrine disruption are not considered to be relevant and no further consideration is required.

Additional higher tier studies (Annex Part A, points 10.1.1.2):

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)		
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus		
Section 5 Ecotoxicology				
No higher tier studies were necessary.				

Terrestrial vertebrate wildlife (birds, mammals, reptile and amphibians) (Annex Part A, points 8.1.4, 10.1.3):

* In absence of avian toxicity data, the mammalian endpoint is used.

Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Part A, Annex point 10.1)

Strawberries and tomatoes (BBCH 61 – 89) at 4016 g a.s./ha x 6 applications

Growth stage	Indictor or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Screening Steps	s (Birds)				
Strawberries and tomatoes	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	1211.7	<u>4.12</u>	10
All		Chronic	No data		5
Tier 1 (Birds)				L	
Fruiting vegetables BBCH 71 - 89	Frugivorous bird "crow"	Acute	437.98	11.4	10
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 50	Small granvivorous bird "finch"	Acute	56.46	88.6	10
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 50	Small omnivorous bird "lark"	Acute	54.94	91.0	10

Competent Authority		Month and year			Active substance (Name)		
CRD			June 2024		Aqueo germi	ous extrac nated see Lupinus a	ct from the d of sweet Ilbus
Section 5 Ecoto	oxicology					-	
Growth stage	Indictor of species	or focal	Time scale	DDD (mg/k per day)	g bw	TER	Trigger
Fruiting vegetables BBCH 71 – 89	Frugivorou "starling"	us bird	Acute	376.94		13.3	10
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 20	Small inse bird "wagt	ectivorous ail"	Acute	192.29		26.0	10
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 40	Small omr bird "lark"	nivorous	Acute	73.25		68.3	10
Strawberries BBCH 61 – 89	Frugivorous bird "starling"		Acute	206.02		24.3	10
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 20	Small insectivorous bird "wagtail"		Acute	192.29		26.0	10
			Chronic	No data			5
Screening Step	(Mammals	5)	I	I		I	<u> </u>
Tomatoes	Small herl mammal	oivorous	Acute	1040.8		<u>4.8</u>	10
Strawberries	Small herl mammal	oivorous	Acute	903.4		<u>5.5</u>	10
			Chronic	No data			5
Tier 1 (Mammals	5)						·
Fruiting vegetables	Frugivoroi mammal "	us rat"	Acute	344.9		14.5	10

Competent Authority			Month and year			Active substance (Name)		
CRD		June 2024	Aqueous extract germinated seed Lupinus alb		t from the d of sweet Ibus			
Section 5 Ecoto	oxicology					-		
Growth stage	Indictor of species	or focal	Time scale	DDD (mg/k per day)	g bw	TER	Trigger	
BBCH 71 – 89								
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 20	Small inse mammal "	ectivorous shrew"	Acute	41.2		121.4	10	
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 50	Small herl mammal "	pivorous vole"	Acute	312.1		16	10	
Fruiting vegetables BBCH ≥ 50	Small omr mammal "	nivorous mouse"	Acute	39.7		125.9	10	
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 20	Small inse mammal "	ectivorous shrew"	Acute	41.2		121.4	10	
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 40	Small herl mammal "	oivrous vole"	Acute	416.6		12.0	10	
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 40	Large herl mammal "lagomorp	bivorous h"	Acute	106.8		46.8	10	
Strawberries BBCH ≥ 40	⁵ Small omnivorous mammal "mouse"		Acute	52.6		95.1	10	
Higher tier (Mammals): [in higher tier refinement provide brief details of any refinements used (e.g., residues, PT, PD or AV)]								

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology

Growth stage	Indictor or focal	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw	TER	Trigger	
	species		per day)			

Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour

Aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus (PROBLAD PLUS), and the lead component BLAD, have been demonstrated to be readily biodegradable according to the conditions of OECD 301 D and 301 B (see Vol. 3 CA B8.2.2.1). Therefore the exposure and potential for bioaccumulation will be limited due to the rapid breakdown and no consideration of secondary poisoning is considered to be necessary. Furthermore, PROBLAD PLUS is a complex mixture and none of the components are isolated during preparation of the product. It is therefore not considered relevant to derive a log Kow for PROBLAD PLUS.

Risk from consumption of contaminated water

Puddle scenario, Screening step

1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint < 50 (koc < 500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed

2)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint < 3000 (koc \ge 500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed

The ratios of effective application rate to acute endpoint (AReff/endpoint) are below the relevant trigger of 3000 for PROBLAD PLUS, therefore no calculation of TER is required

<u>Underlined</u> values indicate failing scenarios

Toxicity data for all aquatic tested species (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 8.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.2)*

* This section does not yet reflect the new EFSA Guidance Document on aquatic organisms which has been noted in the meeting of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed on 11 July 2014.

Competent Authority		Month and year		Active substance (Name)		
CRD			June 2024	Aqueo germin L		is extract from the ated seed of sweet upinus albus
Section 5 Ecoto	xicology					
Group	Test sub	stance	Time-scale (Test type)	End poin	ıt	Toxicity ¹
Laboratory test	L		1	I		
Fish						
Onchorhynchus mykiss	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS		Acute 96 hr (semi- static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀		> 100 mg prep./L _(nom) Supporting information only#
Aquatic inverteb	rates		1	I		
Daphnia magna	Preparati PROBLA	on D PLUS	48 h (semi- static)	Mortality,	EC ₅₀	<u>> 75 mg/L _(mm)</u>
Daphnia magna	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS		21 d (static, or semi- static or flow- through)	Reproduction, NOEC		<u>2.7 mg/L_(mm)</u>
Sediment-dwelling organisms: No studies with sediment-dwelling organisms have been conducted. PROBLAD PLUS is readily biodegradable and any residues in water bodies will be rapidly degraded. However, given the high Koc, partitioning into sediment is likely to occur. In the absence of specific data with sediment-dwelling organisms, the margin of safety in the chronic aquatic invertebrate risk assessment is considered.						
Algae						
Raphidocelis subcapitata	Preparati PROBLA	on D PLUS	72 h (semi- static)	Growth E _r C ₅₀ E _r C ₁₀ (NOEC Yield:	n rate: C) EyC₅₀ C)	<u>51 mg/L_(mm)</u> 7.5 mg/L (6.6 mg/L) 12 mg/L _(mm) (6.6 mg/L)

Competent Authority		Month and year		Active substance (Name)	
CRD		June 2024		Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus	
Section 5 Ecoto	oxicology				
Group	Test sub	stance	e Time-scale End poir (Test type)		t Toxicity ¹
Further testing	on aquatic	organisms	5	I	
No further testing conducted or required					
Potential endoc	rine disrup	oting prope	erties (Annex F	Part A, poi	nt 8.2.3)
No ecotoxicolog of aqueous extr components of are water protei of aquatic orgar exposure studie does not indicat	ical data a act from th aqueous e ns and ca nism. Altho to asses to asses to any adv	are availab ne germina extract from rbohydrate ough there as the risk v erse effect	le to assess the ted seeds of seeds of seeds of seeds of seeds of second the germinates which are a is some unce via aquatic exits from inclusion of endocrir	he endocr sweet Lup ed seeds Iready like rtainty in r posure, th on of lupir	rine disrupting properties binus albus. The main of sweet Lupinus albus ely to form part of the diet relying on dietary ne published literature n seeds in fish diets.HSE

¹(nom) nominal concentration; (mm) mean measured concentration. #Study not considered suitable for use in risk assessment due to lack of analytical measurement, but considered as supporting information. **Study not considered suitable for use in risk assessment due to lack of analytical measurement. <u>Underlined</u> values indicate critical endpoints used in risk assessment.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 5 Eastericalogy		

Section 5 Ecotoxicology Bioconcentration in fish (Annex Part A, point 8.2.2.3)

Bioconcentration in fish is not expected, no data has been provided.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed
		of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology

Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms (assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.2)

PEC/RAC ratios for aqueous extract of germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus - at 4016 g a.s./ha (worst case GAP for proposed use).

Group	Aquatic invertebrates acute	Aquatic invertebrates long-term	Algae
Test species	D. magna	D. magna	R. subcapitata
Endpoint	EC ₅₀	NOEC	E_rC_{50}
(µg a.s./L)	75000	2700	51000
AF	100	10	10
RAC (µg a.s./L)	750	270	5100

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed
		of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology

Group		Aquatic invertebrates acute	Aquatic invertebrates long-term	Algae
Entry pathway / Buffer zone [m] / season	PEC sw (µg a.s./L)	PEC/RAC (= ETR)		·
Spray drift Standard distance (1 m)	63.3	0.0844	0.234	0.0124
Drainage	14.166	0.019	0.052	0.0028

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology Effects on bees (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3.1 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.1)*

* This section does reflect the new EFSA Guidance Document on bees which has not yet been noted by the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed.

Species	Test substance	Time scale/type of endpoint	End point	Toxicity
Apis mellifera	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	Oral toxicity (LD ₅₀)	<u>> 109.42 µg/bee</u>
Apis mellifera	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	Contact toxicity (LD ₅₀)	<u>> 100 µg/bee</u>
Bombus terrestris	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	Oral toxicity (LD ₅₀)	> 2320.9 µg/bee
Bombus terrestris	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Acute	Contact toxicity (LD ₅₀)	> 1200.0 µg/bee
Apis mellifera	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Chronic	10 d LDD ₅₀ 10 d LC ₅₀	361.9 µg/bee/day 24975 mg PROBLAD PLUS/kg diet
Apis mellifera	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	Bee brood development	22 d EC₅₀larvae	>1000 mg PROBLAD PLUS/kg diet
			22 d NOEC	1000 mg PROBLAD PLUS/kg diet
			22 d ED ₅₀	> 154 µg PROBLAD PLUS/larva
			22 d NOED	154 μg PROBLAD PLUS/larva

<u>Underlined</u> values indicate critical endpoints used in risk assessment.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)		
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus		
Section 5 Ecotoxicology				
Potential for accumulative t	oxicity:			
Semi-field test (Cage and to	unnel test)			
Not required				
Field tests				
Not required				

Risk assessment for – tomatoes and strawberries at 4016 g a.s./ha x 6 applications

Species	Test substance	Risk quotient	HQ/ETR	Trigger
Apis mellifera	Preparation PROBLAD PLUS	HQcontact	< 4 <mark>02</mark> .2	< 50
Apis mellifera	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	HQoral	< 36.7	< 50

Effects on other arthropod species (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3.2 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.2)

Laboratory tests with standard sensitive species

Species	Test Substance	End point	Toxicity
Typhlodromus pyri	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Mortality, LR ₅₀	> 10500 ml/ha
Aphidius rhopalosiphi	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Mortality, LR ₅₀	> 10500 ml/ha

First tier risk assessment for - strawberries at 3200 mL a.s./ha x 6 applications

	Competent Authority Mo		onth and year June 2024		Active substance (Name Aqueous extract from th germinated seed of swee		ame) n the weet	
S	Section 5 Eco	toxicology						
Test	substance	Species		Effect (LR ₅₀ g/ha)	HQ in field	-	HQ off- field ¹	Trigger
PRO	BLAD PLUS	Typhlodromus pyri	i	> 10500	0.97		0.015	2
PRO	BLAD PLUS	Aphidius rhopalosiphi		> 10500	0.97		0.015	2

¹Using drift rate 1.64% for 6 applications to strawberries at 1 m.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed
		of sweet Lupinus albus
Section E Fectovicelemy		

Section 5 Ecotoxicology Extended laboratory tests, aged residue tests

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate	Time scale	Dose (mL/ha) ^{1,2}	Corrected mortality (%)	% effect on reproduction ³	End point	ER ₅₀ ^{2,4}				
Typhlodromus	Protonymphs	PROBLAD	Mortality: 7 d	500	0	18.6	Mortality:	> 8000				
pyri		PLUS, dwarf	Fecundity: 7 d	1000	0	13.7	Reproduction:	> 8000				
		French bean	Total: 14 d	2000	0	22.0						
		exposure)		4000	7	2.9						
	enpeedie)		8000	5	30.7							
Aphidius	Adult	PROBLAD	Mortality: 48 h	500	0.0	34.7	Mortality:	> 8000				
rhopalosiphi		PLUS,	Fecundity: 24 h	1000	6.7	36.7	Reproduction:	> 8000				
		Barley seedlings (3D	Barley seedlings (3D	Mummy	2000	0.0	37.3					
				seedlings (3D	seedlings (3D	seedlings (3D	seedlings (3D	seedlings (3D	development:	4000	6.7	32.7
		exposure)	10 d	8000	13.3	36.7						
Chrysoperla	First instar	PROBLAD	Total: 39 d	3200	21.2	7.1	Mortality	> 10240				
carnea	larvae	PLUS, French bean leaves (2D exposure)		10240	18.2	1.9	Reproduction:	> 10240				

¹ initial residues

² mL formulated product/ha

³ A negative value indicates an increase relative to the control, a positive value a decrease.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed
		of Sweet Lupinus abus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology ⁴ The reproductive endpoint is defined as the 'highest tested rate with < 50 % effect on reproduction' as opposed to a true ER_{50} value

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 5 Ecotoxicology		
Semi-field tests		
No data- not required		
Field studies		
No data-not required		
Additional specific test		
No data- not required		

Effects on non-target soil meso- and macro fauna; effects on soil nitrogen transformation (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 8.4, 8.5, and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, points 10.4, 10.5)

Test organism	Test substance	Application method of test a.s./ OM	Time scale	End point	Toxicity
Earthworm	S				
Eisenia andrei	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Mixed into soil, 10 % peat	Chronic	Mortality, biomass, reproduction	NOEC = 100 mg/kg soil dw NOECcorr = 50 mg/kg soil dw EC10 > 100 mg/kg soil dw EC10 corr > 50 mg/kg soil dw
Eisenia andrei	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Mixed into soil, 10 % peat	Chronic	Mortality	NOEC = 250 mg/kg soil dw <u>NOEC_{CORR} = 125 mg/kg soil dw</u>

CORR = CORR = CORR = CORR + CORR +

<u>Underlined</u> values indicate critical endpoints used in risk assessment.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 5 Easteria lagy		

Section 5 Ecotoxicology

Higher tier testing (e.g. modelling or field studies)	
No data- not required	

	Nitrogen transformation PROBLAD PLUS	+ 6.31% effect at day 28 at 5.2 mg product/kg d.w.soil -5.42 % effect at day 28 at <u>52 mg</u> product/kg d.w. soil
--	---	---

- = inhibition, + = stimulation, <u>underlined</u> values indicate critical endpoints used in risk assessment

Toxicity/exposure ratios for soil organisms

Tomatoes and Strawberries at 4016 g a.s./ha x 6 applications

Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC ¹	TER	Trigger
Earthworms					
Eisenia andrei	Preparation, PROBLAD PLUS	Chronic	12.277	5.1	5
					•

Other soil macroorganisms

No data was provided with Hypoaspis aculeifer or Folsomia candida. In accordance with the data requirements, data from the non-target arthropods Aphidius rhopalosiphi and Typhlodromus pyri have been used in an initial risk assessment. Given that an acceptable risk to both A. rhopalosiphi and T. pyri was demonstrated using standard Tier I glass plate studies, it is considered that no data is required on the soil macro-organisms and an acceptable risk may be concluded.

¹max. PEC_{Soil} initial

Effects on terrestrial non target higher plants (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.6 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.6)

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus
Section 5 Ecotoxicology		

Screening data

No data- not required

Laboratory dose response tests

Species	Test substanc e	ER ₅₀ (g/ha) ² vegetativ e vigour	ER₅₀ (g/ha)² emergenc e	Exposure 1 (g/ha) ²	TER	Trigge r
Brassica napus, Cucumis sativus, Lactuca sativa, Lycopersico n esculentum, Zea mays, Allium cepa	PROBLA D PLUS	> 2510	-	322.08	> 7.79	5
Brassica napus, Cucumis sativus, Lactuca sativa, Lycopersico n esculentum, Zea mays, Allium cepa	PROBLA D PLUS	> 4016	> 4016	322.08	> 12.4 6	5
Extended laboratory studies : Not required Semi-field and field test: Not required						

¹ based on worst case use on tomatoes using Ganzelmeier drift data. Drift value 8.02% considering distance of 3 m from edge of crop.

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology ² g formulated product/ha

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.8)

Test type/organism	End point
Activated sludge	
Pseudomonas sp	No data- PROBLAD PLUS and the lead component BLAD have been demonstrated to be readily biodegradable in accordance with OECD 301.

Monitoring data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.9 and assimilated Regulation No 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.8)

Available monitoring data concerning adverse effect of the a.s. None submitted. Available monitoring data concerning effect of the PPP. None submitted.

Definition of the residue for monitoring (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.2) Ecotoxicologically relevant compounds¹

Compartment	
soil	Not applicable
water	Not applicable
sediment	Not applicable
groundwater	Not applicable

¹ metabolites are considered relevant when, based on the risk assessment, they pose a risk comparable or higher than the parent

Competent Authority	Month and year	Active substance (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Section 5 Ecotoxicology

Classification and labelling with regard to ecotoxicological data (assimilated Regulation No 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10)

Substance	Name
Mandatory classification according to assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008 and its Adaptations to Technical Process:	No current harmonised classification.
GB Authority proposal ⁶ for harmonised classification according to assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008:	No mandatory classification in GB is proposed (HSE, 2023) ⁷

⁶ It should be noted that mandatory classification and labelling is formally proposed and decided in accordance with assimilated Regulation No 1272/2008. Proposals for mandatory classification made in the context of the evaluation procedure under assimilated Regulation No 1107/2009 are not formal proposals.

⁷ HSE (2023) MCL Technical Report: proposal for mandatory classification and labelling (MCL) of 'aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus', based on Annex VI, Part 2 of the assimilated CLP Regulation No. 1272/2008. Date of report: November 2023. Accessed date: 30th April 2024. Available at https://www.hse.gov.uk

Competent AuthorityRapporteur Member State	Month and year	Active substance and Plant Protection Product (Name)
CRD	June 2024	Aqueous extract from the germinated seed of sweet Lupinus albus

Appendix

Used compounds code(s)

None



Further information

For information about health and safety, or to report inconsistencies or inaccuracies in this guidance, visit <u>www.hse.gov.uk</u>.

You can order HSE priced publications at https://books.hse.gov.uk HSE priced publications are also available from bookshops.

This publication is available on the HSE website at www.hse.gov.uk/xxx

This guidance is issued by the Health and Safety Executive. Following the guidance is not compulsory, unless specifically stated, and you are free to take other action. But if you do follow the guidance you will normally be doing enough to comply with the law. Health and safety inspectors seek to secure compliance with the law and may refer to this guidance.

© Crown copyright If you wish to reuse this information visit www.hse.gov.uk for details.

First published 0X/22. Published by the Health and Safety Executive 0X/22